DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abe, Shinzo. (2007). Towards A Beautiful Country: My Vision for Japan. Tokyo: Vertical Inc.
- Abe, Shinzo. (2012). Toward A New Country: I Want to Take Back The Country Japan from Postwar History. Bungei Shunju 12; 124-33.
- Akaneya, Tatsuo. (2009). *Developments in Japan's Defense and Security Policy*. The University of Tsukuba.
- Akimoto, Daisuke. (2013). A Theoretical Analysis of Japan's Changing Security Identity: Through the Application of Analytical Eclecticism. Electronic Journal of Contemporary Japanese Studies.
- Akitoshi, Miyashita. (2007). Where Do Norms Come From? Foundations of Japan's Postwar Pacifism. International Relations of the Asia-Pacific Volume 7 (2007) 99–120.
- Anderson, Nicholas. (2016). Anarchic Threats and Hegemonic Assurances: Japan's Security Production in the Postwar Era. Department of Political Science, Yale University.
- Bagashka, Tanya. (2012). The Personal Vote and Economic Reform. Electoral Studies.
- Bechtol, Bruce E. (2015). *North Korea and Syria: Partners in Destruction and Violence*. The Korean Journal of Defense Analysis, Vol. 27, No. 3.
- Beer, L. W., & Maki, J. M. (2002). From imperial myth to democracy: Japan's two constitutions, 1889-2002. Boulder, Colo: University Press of Colorado.
- Berglund, Andrew. (2013). "Maneuver-5" Exercise Focuses on Improving Distant Seas Combat Capabilities. U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission Staff Report.
- Berglindardóttir, Viktoría Emma. (2017). *The Constitution of Japan: The Road to Promulgation*. University of Iceland, Department of Japanese.
- Berkofsky, Alex. (2011). *Japan's North Korea policy: Trends, controversies and impact on Japan's overall defence and security policy*. Austria Institut für Europa und Sicherheitspolitik.
- Berkofsky, Alex. (2013). *Japan Under Shinzo Abe: 'Too Nationalist for the Rest of Asia?*. ISN Eth Zurich.
- Berkofsky, Alex. (2015). Japan's December 2010 "National Defense Program Guidelines (NDPG)": The "Big Bang" of Japanese Security and Defense Policies?. Korea Revew of International Studies.
- Bix, H. P. (2000). *Hirohito and the making of modern Japan*. NewYork: HarperCollinsPublishers.
- Blair, Dennis C. (2016). Assertive Engagement: An Updated U.S.-Japan Strategy for China. Sasakawa Peace Foundation USA.
- Blomquitz, Rachel & Daniel Wertz. (2015). *An Overview of North Korea Japan Relations*. The National Committee on North Korea.

- Bock, Andreas M. & Ingo Henneberg. (2013). Why balancing fails. Theoretical reflections on Stephen M. Walt's, Balance of Threat' theory. SSRN Electronic Journal. Lehrstuhl Internationale Politik. Universität zu Köln.
- Borton, H. (1948). *Occupation Policies in Japan and Korea*. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 255, 146-155.
- Boulding, Kenneth A. (1962). *Conflict and Defense: A General Theory*. New York: Harper Torchbooks.
- Brooks, Stephen G. (1997). Duelling Realism. International Organization 51: 445-477.
- Broomfield, Emma V. (2003). *Perceptions of danger: The China threat theory*. Journal of Contemporary China. vol. 12.
- Bush, Richard. (2009). *China-Japan Tensions, 1995-2006 Why They Happened, What To Do.* Brooking Policy Paper.
- Budge, Ian & Ken Newton et al (1997). The Politics of the New Europe: Atlantic to Urals.
- Bungin, Burhan. (2011). Penelitian Kualitatif; Komunikasi, Ekonomi, Kebijakan Publik, dan Ilmu Sosial Lainnya. Jakarta: Penerbit Kencana.
- Buruma, Ian. (2013). Year Zero: A History of 1945. Penguin.
- Buszynski, Leszek. (2006). *Japan's Security Policy in the Koizumi Era*. Niigata: National University of Japan.
- Calder, K. (1988). Japanese Foreign Economic Policy Formation: Explaining the Reactive State.
 World Politics.
- Carey, John. & Simon Hix. (2013). Consequences of Electoral Rules for Patterns of Redistribution and Regulation. Perspectives on Politics.
- Castilo, Jasen. et. al. (2001). *Military Expenditures and Economic Growth*. Library of Congress Catalonging in Publication Data.
- Catalinac, Amy. (2015). From Pork to Policy: The Rise of Programmatic Campaigning in Japanese Elections. New York: New York University.
- Central Intelligence Agency. (2006). Unclassified Report to Congress on the Acquisition of Technology Relating to Weapons of Mass Destruction and Advanced Conventional Munitions. December 2006.
- Cha, V. (2000). Abandonment, Entrapment, and Neoclassical Realism in Asia: The United States, Japan, and Korea. International Studies Quarterly.
- Chang, Eric C. C. (2008). *Electoral Incentives and Budgetary Spending: Rethinking the Role of Political Institutions*. Journal of Politics.
- Chang, Eric C. C., & Miriam Golden. (2007). *Electoral Systems, District Magnitude and Corruption*. British Journal of Political Science.
- Christensen, Raymond. (1996). *The New Japanese Election System*. Pacific Affairs 69, 1: 49–70.
- Chung, Huang. (2017). *Japan's Shift to Proactive Defense Architecture*. Naval Postgraduated School.
- Clark, Vern. (2002). Sea Power 21: Projecting Decisive Joint Capabilitie. Oktober 2002.

- Cohen, Nissim & Tamar Arieli. (2011). Field research in conflict environments: Methodological challenges and snowball sampling. SAGE Journal. First Published July 28, 2011.
- Corbin, Juliet & Anselm Strauss. (1998). *Grounded Theory Research Procedures, Canons, Evaluative Criteria*. San Francisco: University of California, Human Science Press Inc.
- Cordesman, Anthony H., Joseph Kendall & Steven Colley. (2016). *China's Nuclear Forces and Weapons of Mass Destruction*. Center for Strategic in International Studies.
- Cordesman, Anthony H. (2016). North Korean Nuclear Forces and the Threat of Weapons of Mass Destruction in Northeast Asia. Center for Strategic and International Studies.
- Cordesman, Anthony H. (2017). *Keeping The North Korean Threat in Proportion*. Center for Strategic and International Studies.
- Curtis, Gerald L. (2004). *Institutional Change and Political Reform: Back to Basics*. Columbia University.
- Cornelis, Elena Attanassova. (2010). The US-Japan alliance and the rise of China: Implications for the East Asian security order and the EU's regional role. Paper for the International Conference "China, the European Union and the Restructuring of Global Governance".
- Cox, Gary W. (1990). Centripetal and Centrifugal Incentives in Electoral Systems. American Journal of Political Science.
- Craig, William. (1997). The Fall of Japan. Wildcat pub.
- Creswell, John W. (1994). Research design: Qualitative and Quantitative Design Approaches. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.
- Cronin, Richard P. (2005). The North Korean Nuclear Threat and the U.S.-Japan Security Alliance: Perceived Interests, Approaches, and Prospects. The Fletcher Forum of World Affairs.
- D'Angelo, Sandro & Yan Luo. (2012). *After A Landslide Victory: Japan's LDP Returns to Power*. European Union. Policy Department, Directorate-General For External Policies.
- Davis, Carmel. (2011). Power, Threat, or Military Capabilities: US Balancing in The Later Cold War 1970-1982. Maryland: University Press of America.
- Denzin, Norman K. & Yvonna S. Lincoln. (1998). *Strategies of Qualitative Inquiry*. SAGE Publications.
- Department of Defense. (2005). *The Implementation of Network-Centric Warfare*. Wshington D.C.: Department of Defense.
- Dinas Sejarah TNI Angkatan Darat. (1979). *Sendi-sendi Perjuangan TNI-AD*. Bandung: Disjarahad.
- Denny, Roy. (1994). *Hegemon on the Horizon? China's Threat to East Asian Security*. International Security, Vol. 19, No. 1.
- Doherty, Megan. (1999). *Japan's Attempts at Political Reform: Are They Helping or Hurting?*. Japan in World Affairs Leheigh.
- Donnelly, Jack. (2004). *Realism and International Relations*. Edinburgh: Cambridge University Press.

- Dower, John W. (1999). Embracing Defeat: Japan in the Wake of World War II. New York: New Press.
- Downs, Anthony. (1957). An Economic Theory of Democracy. New York: Harper.
- Doyle, Michael W. (1997). Ways of war and peace: Realism, liberalism, and socialism. New York: Norton.
- Drifte, Reinhard. (2016). *Japan's Policy towards the South China Sea Applying "Proactive Peace Diplomacy"?*. Frankfurt: Peace Research Institute Frankfurt Report.
- Endo, Tetsuya. (1997). *Japan and North Korea: An Assessment and Some Policy Approaches*. Centre for Strategic Studies Victoria University of Wellington.
- Endo, Masahisa. Robert Pekkanen, & Steven R. Reed. (2013). *The LDP's Path Back to Power*. Research Gate. Januari 2013.
- Estevez-Abe, Margarita, and Takako Hikotani. (2008). *Japan's New Extrovert Leaders: How Institutions Change Incentives and Capabilities*. Working Paper no. 2008-0133, Korea
- Etzioni, Amittai. (2016). How Aggressive is China. Korean Journal of International Studies. Vol.14, No.2. Agustus 2016.
- Fabian, Emilia Henrietta. (2015). Japan's Security Policy from the Yoshida to Abedoctrine: The Influence of Changing Norms from the Antimilitarism to the Proactive Pacifism. Institute for International Studies.
- FitzGerald, Mary C. (1992). Russia's New Military Doctrine. RUS Journal, 137. October 1992.
- Flanagan, Scott C. Shinsaku Kohei, Ichiro Miyake, Bradley M. Richardson, Joji Watanuki. (1991). *The Japanese Voters*. Yale University Press.
- Fu, Ying. (2006). Korean The Nuclear Issue: Past, Present, and Future A Chinese Perspective. Washington, D.C.: John L. Thornton China Center.
- Fukatsu, Masumi. (1990). Tackle the Obstacles to Power. Japan Quarterly.
- Fukui, Haruhiro. & Shigeko Fukai. (1996). Pork Barrel Politics, Networks, and Local Economic Development in Contemporary Japan. Asian Survey.
- Fukui, Haruhiro. & Shigeko Fukai. (1999). *Campaigning for the Japanese Diet*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Fukushima, Akiko. (2014). *Japan's "Proactive Contribution to Peace" a Mere Political Label?*. Tokyo Foundation.
- Gaddis, John Lewis. (1982). *Strategies of Containment*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Ge, Yang. (1995). *China's Rise: Threat or Not?*. Beijing Review.
- Geneva Centre for the Democratic Control of Armed Forces. (2015). *The Armed Forces*. SSR Backgrounder Series. Geneva: DCAF.
- Giese, Jon F. (1999). "Military Innovation: Sources of Change for United States Special Operations Forces (SOF)". Monterey, CA: Naval Postgraduate School.
- Glaser Charles L. and Chaim Kaufmann. (1998). What is the Offense-Defense Balance and Can We Measure it?. International Security. Vol. 22, No. 4 (Spring, 1998), pp. 44-82
- Glaser, C. (2011). Will China's rise lead to war?. Foreign Affairs.

- Goldstein, L. (2011). Chinese Naval Strategy in the South China Sea: An Abundance of Noise and Smoke, but Little Fire. Contemporary Southeast Asia.
- Gordon, A. (2003). The modern history of Japan: from Tokugawa times to the present. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Green, Michael J. (2007). *Japan Is Back: Why Tokyo's New Assertiveness Is Good for Washington*. Foreign Affairs.
- Green, Michael. (2013). Reading Abe's national security strategy. Lowy Institute.
- Grieco, Joseph M. (1988). Anarchy and the limits of cooperation: A realist critique of the newest liberal institutionalism. International Organization.
- Grimes, William W. (2003). *Institutionalized Inertia: Japanese Foreign Policy in the Post-Cold War World*. In G. John Ikenberry and Michael Mastanduno, eds., *International Relations Theory and the Asia-Pacific*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Grimes, Vincent P. (1991). The Giant Who Walks Softly. Army. July 1991.
- Grissom, Adam. (2006). *The Future of Military Innovation Studies*. The Journal of Strategic Studies. October 2006.
- Grotz, Florian & Christof Hartmann. (2001). *Elections in Asia: A data handbook*. Dieter Nohlen.
- Gulick, Edward Vose. (1955). Europe's classical balance of power. New York: Norton. Gyatso, Jampel. (2004). Biography of Li Jue. Beijing: China Tibetology Publishing House.
- Haas, Michael. (2014). *Japan's Military Rebirth*. Center for Security Studies Analysis in Military Policy. No. 155, June 2014.
- Haelig, Carlton G. (2017). Contemporary American Military Innovation: The Challenge of Fighting Today's War While Preparing to Win the Next War. Small Wars Journals.
- Hagstrom, Linus. (2015). *The 'abnormal' state: Identity, norm/exception and Japan*. European Journal of International Relations.
- Hall, J. W. (1988). *The Cambridge history of Japan* (Vol. 6). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Hankla, Charles R. (2006). *Party Strength and International Trade: A Cross-National Analysis*. Comparative Political Studies.
- Hao, Yufan & Liu Weihua. (2011). Rare Earth Minerals and Commodity Resource Nationalism. The National Bureau of Asian Research.
- Haruka, Araki. (1998). The Causes of Japanese Lost Decade. Economic Activity.
- Haussmann, Hauss. (2011). Japan Political Tsunami. Cengage Learning.
- Heng, Kimkong. (2017). *The Rise of China: Global Threat or International Peace?*. The University of Combodia. UC Occasional Paper Series, 1.
- Hasan, M. Iqbal. (2002). *Pokok-pokok Materi Metodologi Penelitian dan Aplikasinya*. Bogor: Ghalia Indonesia.
- Hasegawa, T. (2005). *Racing the enemy: Stalin, Truman, and the surrender of Japan*. Cambridge, Mass.: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Heitzig, Bill. (1991). *Japanese Remilitarization: Containing The Samurai*. Washington: National War College.

- Herdiansyah, Haris. (2009). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif untuk Ilmu-ilmu Sosial*. Jakarta: Salemba Hu.
- Higuchi, Naoto. (2018). *The Radical Right in Japan*. The Oxford Handbook of Radical Right.
- Hofferbert, Richard I & Ian Budge. (1992). The Party Mandate and The Westminster Model: Election of Programs and Government Spending in Britain. British Journal of Political Science.
- Hori, Y. (1993). Right-wingers in postwar Japan. rev. ed. Tokyo: Keiso Shobo.
- Hughes, Christopher W. (2006). *Military Modernization in an Era of Uncertainty*. Washington: The National Bureau of Asian Research.
- Hughes, Christopher W. (2009). *Japan's Military Modernisation: A Quiet Japan-China Arms Race and Global Power Projection*. The University of Warwick.
- Hughes, Christopher W. (2015). *Japan's Foreign and Security Policy 'Under Abe Doctrine'*. United Kingdom: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hughes, Christopher W. (2017). *Japan's Strategic Trajectory and Collective Self-Defense: Essential Continuity or Radical Shift?*. Society for Japanese Studies. The Journal of Japanese Studies, Volume 43, Number 1, Winter 2017.
- Hughes, Christopher W. (2017). *Japan's Remilitarisation*. London, New York: Routledge.
- Inoguchi, Takashi & G. John Ikenberry, eds., (2015). *The Troubled Triangle: Economic and Security Concerns for the United States, Japan, and China.* New York: Palgrave.
- Iversen, Torben, & David Soskice. (2006). Electoral Institutions and the Politics of Coalitions: Why Some Democracies Redistribute More than Others. American Political Science Review.
- Ishihara, Shintaro. (2001). *Chinese Threat is Axis Around Which U.S-Japan Relations Will Turn in the Future*. Los Angles: Times Syndicate International, a division of Tribune Media Services. Global Viewpoint.
- Iwasaki, Jo K. (1997). Examining the Process of the 1994 Japanese Electoral Reform. The London School of Economic and Political Science.
- Izumikawa, Yasuhiro. (2010). North Korea Problems and US-Japan Relations: A View from Japan. Washington: Stimson Center.
- James, Patrick. & John R. Oneal. (1991). *The Influence of Domestic and International Politics on the President's Use of Force*. Journal of Conflict Resolution 35 (2): 307–32.
- Jansen, M. B. (2000). *The making of modern Japan*. Cambridge, Mass.: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Jervis, Robert. (1978). *Cooperation Under The Security Dilemma*. World Politics, Vol 30. No. 2. January 1978. The John Hopkins University Press.
- Jiang, Ye. (2002). Will China be a "Threat" to Its Neighbors and the World in the Twenty First Century?. The International Studies Association of Ritsumeikan University: Ritsumeikan Annual Review of International Studies, Vol.1.

- Junkerman, John. Gavan McCormack, and David McNeill. (2006). *Japan's Political and Constitutional Crossroads*. The Asia-Pasifis Journal.
- Jou, Willy. (2009). *Electoral Reform and Party System Development in Japan*. University of California Press.
- Kato, Ryoko. (2017). Protecting Defense: Making Sense of Japan's Post-WWII ArmsProcurement Policies. Washington, D.C.: Georgetown University.
- Katzensein, Peter J., J. J. Suh. & Allen Carlson. (2008). *Rethinking Security in East Asia: Identity, Power, and Efficiency*. Studies in Asian Security. Singapore: NUS Press Singapore.
- Kawasaki, T. (2001). *Postclassical realism and Japanese security policy*. The Pacific Review.
- Kazuhiro, Takii. (2007). The Meiji Constitution: The Japanese Experience of the West and the Shaping of the Modern State. (David Noble, Penerjemah). Tokyo: International House of Japan.
- Kazuo, Kawai. (1950). *Mokusatsu, Japan's Response to the Potsdam Declaration*. Pacific Historical Review, Vol. 19, No. 4 (November 1950), pp. 409–414.
- Kemenade, Willem Van. (2006). *China and Japan: Partners or Permanent Rivals*?. Netherland Institute of International Relations.
- Kementerian Pertahanan Indonesia. (2016). *Pengembangan Postur Pertahanan Militer Guna Mendukung Terwujudnya Poros Maritim Dunia*. Jakarta.
- Kennedy, Paul M. (1980). *The Rise of the Anglo-German Antagonism*. London: Allen and Unwin.
- Khan, Samshad A. (2012). LDP "Take(s) Back Japan": The creation of a national military is on Abe's agenda. Issue Brief. Institute for Defence Studies and Analysis.
- Kier, Elizabeth. 1997. *Imaging in War: French and British Military Doctrine between the Wars*. Princeton: Princeton UP.
- Kim, Jaeyeop. (2013). *In Pursuit of Offensive-Defense Strategy for Korea*. Journal of National Defense Studies 56, no.2 (2013): 127–28.
- Kim, Yongju. (2015). A Study on the Offensive Character of the People's Liberation Army's Air Power: Focused on the Analysis of the Operating Concepts and Weapon Systems. Korea Defense University.
- Kimijima, Akihiko. (2009). Postwar History and the Choice of Peace: Japan's Constitution in East Asia. Ritsumeikan University.
- Kinder, Donald R., Mark D. Peters, Robert P. Abelson, and Susan T. Fiske. (1980). *Presidential Prototypes*. Political Behavior.
- Kitaoka, Shinichi. (2014). *Japan Dysfunctional Democracy*. Asia Program Special Report.
- Klingener, Bruce. (2012). U.S. Should Use Japanese Political Change to Advance the Alliance. Asian Studies Center at The Heritage Foundation.
- Kushida Kenji E. & Phillip Y. Lipscy. (2013). *The Rise and Fall of Democratic Party of Japan*. Brookings Institution Press.
- Kulacki, Gregory. (2011). *China's Nuclear Arsenal: Status and Evolution*. Union of Concern Scientist.

- Klingemann, Hans-Dieter, Richard I. Hofferbert and Ian Budge. (1994). *Parties, Policies and Democracy*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Kriyantono, Rachmat. (2007). Teknik Praktis Riset Komunikasi. Jakarta: Kencana.
- Kulve, Haico Ted an Wim A. Smit. (2010). *Novel Naval Technologies: Sustaining or Disrupting Naval Doctrine*. School of Management and Governance, University of Twente.
- Labs, Eric J. (1997). Beyond Victory: Offensive Realism and The Expansion of War Aims. Security Studies 6: 1-49.
- Laver, Michael & Kenneth Benoit. (2005). *Estimating Party Policy Positions: Japan in Comparative Context*. Japanese Journal of Political Science. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press. 6 (2) 187–209.
- Lawson, S., and S. Tannaka. (2010). *War Memories and Japan's 'Normalization' as an International Actor: A Critical Analysis*." European Journal of International Relations 17, no. 3: 405–428.
- Lee, S. (2002). Territorial Disputes Among Japan, China, and Taiwan Concerning the Senkaku Islands. University of Durham.
- Lee, Dae Kyu. (2016). Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe's Two Administrations: Success and Failures of Demostic and Security Policies. Naval Postgraduate School.
- Lewis-Beck, M.S. & Stegmaier, M. (2013). The VP-function revisited: a survey of the literature on vote and popularity functions after over 40 years. Public Choice.
- Li, Bin. (2016). Understanding Chinese Nuclera Thinking. Carnegie Endowment International Peace.
- Li, Xianwen. (2010). Establishing A Two Party System in Japan: Ichiro Ozawa and His Dream of 'Normal Nation'. Center for East and South-East Asian Studies. Lund University.
- Lind, J. (2004). Pacifism or Passing the Buck: Testing Theories of Japanese Security Policy. International Security.
- Liff, Adam P. (2015). *Japan's Defense Policy: Abe the Evolutionary*. The Washington Quarterly. Summer 2015.
- Liff, Adam P. (2017). *Policy by Other Means: Collective Self-Defense and the Politics of Japan's Postwar Constitutional Reinterpretations*. The National Bureau of Asian Research, Seattle, Washington
- Liu, G. (2006). The dialectic relationship between peaceful development and China's deep reform. London: Ashgate.
- Liu, Lin. (2006). *The North Korean Nuclear Test and Its Implications*. Central Asia-Caucasus Institute. Silk Road Studies Program.
- Lingo, Quinto. (1968). The World's Most Tragic Translation. January 1968.
- Little, Richard & Michael Smith, (2005). *Perspective on World Politics*. London: Routledge.
- Lynn-Jones, Sean M. (1995). *Offense-Deffense Theory and Its Critics*. Security Studies 4 (Summer): 660-691.
- Malesky, E., & London, J. (2014). *The political economy of development in China and Vietnam.* Annual Review of Political Science.

- Masumi, Fukatsu. (1994). Political Reform's Path of No Return. *Japan Quarterly*. September 1994.
- Mathur, Arpita. (2006). *Japan's Response to North Korea Nuclear Test*. Institute for Defense Studies and Analysis. Strategic Analysis, Vol. 30, No. 4, Oct-Dec 2006.
- Matthews, Eugene A. (2003). *Japan's New Nationalism*. Foreign Affairs 82 (6): 74–90.
- Marinov, Nikolay. William G. Navikos., and Josh Robbins. (2015). *Does Electoral Proximity Affect Security Policy*. University of Mannhein.
- Mardalis. (1999). *Metode Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Proposal*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Mayhew, David. (1974). Congress: The Electoral Connection. Yale University Press.
- Mazarr, Michael J. 1996. *North Korea and the Bomb: A Case Study in Nonproliferation*. New York: St. Martin's.
- McAllister, Ian. (2006). A War Too Far? Bush, Iraq, and the 2004 U.S. Presidential Election. Presidential Studies Quarterly. Center for The Study of The Presidency and Congress. Mei 2006.
- McClain, J. L. (2002). Japan, a modern history. New York: Norton & Co.
- McCreedy, Amy. (2004). *Japanese Political Reform: Progress in Process*. Asia Program Special Report.
- McCormack, Gavan. (2002). New Tunes for an Old Song: Nationalism and Identity in Post-Cold War Japan. In Roy Starrs, ed., Nations under Siege: Globalization and Nationalism in Asia. New York: Palgrave.
- McElwain, Kenneth Mori. (2007). *Manipulating Electoral Rules to Manufacture Single-Party Dominance*. Division of International, Comparative and Area Studies, Stanford University.
- Mearsheimer, John J., (2006). *China's Unpeaceful Rise, Current History*. New York: W.W. Norton & Company, Inc.
- Mearsheimer, John J. (2001). *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. New York: W.W. Norton & Company, Inc.
- Michael J. Green. (2007). *US-Japan Relations: Fukuda Takes the Helm*. Comparative Connections.
- Mihali, Alexandra. (2014). *An Overview of Japan's National Security Strategy*. Conflict Studies Quarterly. Issue 6, January 2014.
- Miyake, Ichiro. (1999). *Incomplete Policy Voting: The Japanese General Election of 1996*. Japanese Journal of Electoral Studies.
- Mizohata, Saachie. (2016). *Nippon Kaigi: Empire, Contradiction, and Japan's Future*. The Asia-Pacific Journal. Vol. 14 Issue. 21 No. 4.
- Mochizuki, Mike M. dan Samuel Parkinson Porter. (2013). *Japan Under Abe: toward Moderation or Nationalism?*. Desember 2013. The Washington Quarterly: Center for Strategic and International Studies.
- Mochizuki, Mike M. (2007). *Japan's Shifting Strategy toward The Rise of China*. George Washington University. Journal of Strategic Studies.
- Moleong, Lexy J. (2004). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif*. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.

- Morrow, James D. (1991). Alliances and asymmetry: An alternative to the capability aggregation model of alliances. American Journal of Political Science 35(4): 904–933.
- Muller, Wolfgang C. & Kaare Strom. (1999). Policy, Office or Votes?: How Political Parties in
- Muramatsu, Michio. (2014). An Arthritic Japan? The Relationship between Politicians and Bureaucrats. Asia Program Special Report.
- Mochizuki, Mike M. (1995). Japan Domestic Change and Foreign Policy. National Defense Research Institute.
- Mullins, Mark R. (2012). *The Neo-nationalist Response to the Aum Crisis*. Japanese Journal of Religious Studies.
- Murakami, Hiroshi. (2009). *The Changing Party System in Japan 1993-2007: More Competition and Limited Convergence*. Ritsumeikan University.
- Myerson, Roger W. (1993). *Incentives to Cultivate Favored Minorities under Alternative Electoral Systems*. American Political Science Review. Congressional Research Service ~ The Library of Congress.
- Nakano, Koichi. (2015). New Right Japan: The Neoliberal Path to Illiberal Policies. Institute of Social Science, Sophia University.
- Nanto, Dick K. & Emma Chanlett-Avery. (2006). *The Rise of China and Its Effect on Taiwan, Japan, and South Korea: U.S. Policy Choices.* CRS Report for Congress.
- Narita, N. (1995). *The Diet, Elections, and Political Parties*. Tokyo: Foreign Press Center.
- Narushige, Michishita. (2015). Deciphering China's Security Intentions in Northeast Asia: The Japanese Debate. Joint U.S.-Korea Academic Studies.
- Nazir, Muhammad. (1988). Metode Penelitian. Jakarta: Ghalia Indonesia.
- Nester, W. (1996). Power Across the Pacific: Diplomatic History of American Relations with Japan. New York: Palgrave.
- Nishikawa, Toshiyuki. (2008). *Japan's Foreign Policy Dilemma Vis-à-vis North Korea:* The Nuclear Issue, and Diplomatic Normalization. Sogo Media Bulletin.
- Nishikawa, Toshiyuki. (2009). *The Future of The Japanese Constitution: From the "MacArthur Constitution" to What.* 17 Comparative Law and Culture 51.
- NTI. (2011). *North Korea Nuclear Chronology*. James Martin Center for Nonproliferation Studies at the Monterey Institute of International Studies. February 2011.
- NTI. (2012). North Korea Biological Chronology. James Martin Center for Nonproliferation Studies. Agustus 2012.
- NTI. (2015). *North Korea: Chemical*. James Martin Center for Nonproliferation Studies at the Monterey Institute of International Studies. November 2015).
- Nye, Joseph S. (1993). *Understanding international conflicts*. New York: Harper Collins.
- Oros, Andrew L. (2017). *Japan's Security Renaissance: New Policies and Politics for the Twenty-First Century.* Columbia University Press.

- Oxford Dictonary. (2006). Oxford Beginner's Japanese Dictionary. United Kingdom: Oxford University Press.
- Oxford English Dictonary. (1994).
- Pang, Dominic. (2015). *Pork Barrel Politics*. Japanese Political Economy. National University of Singapore.
- Parachini, John V. (2018). Assessing North Korea's Chemical and Biological Weapons Capabilities and Prioritizing Countermeasures. RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif.
- Patrick, Hugh. (2013). *Abenomics: Japan's New Economic Policy Package*. New York: Columbia University Center on Japanese Economy and Business, Occasional Paper Series, No. 62.
- Patrick, Kollner. (2009). *Japanese Lower House Campaigns in Transition: Manifest Changes or Fleeting Fads?*. German Institute for Global Area Studies.
- Pekkanen, Robert. Steven Reed, & Ethan Scheiner. (2013). *Japan Decides 2012: The Japanese General Election*. United Kingdom: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Pempel, T.J. (2008). The Japanese LDP's Reform Struggle. In Political Transitions in Dominant Party Systems: Learning to Lose. London: Routledge.
- Penney, Matthew. (1970). *The Abe Cabinet An Ideological Breakdown*. The Asia Pacific Journal.
- Posen, Barry R. (1984). The Sources of Military Doctrine. Cornell University Press.
- Pryor, Crystal. (2016). *Japan's security policy under Abe: much ado about almost nothing*. Pacific Forum CSIS.
- Przystup, James J. (2013). *Japan-China Relations: 40th Anniversary: Fuggetaboutit!*. Comparative Connections.
- Pyle, Kenneth. (2007). *Japan Rising: The Resurgence of Japanese Power and Purpose*. New York: Public Affairs.
- Takahara, Akio. (2004). *Japan's Political Response to The Rise of China*. Tokyo: Japan Center for International Exchange.
- Qiao, Liang & Wang Xiangsui. (1999). *Unrestricted Warfare*. Beijing: PLA Literature and Arts Publishing House. February 1999. English version.
- Raymond, Cohen. (1979). *Threat Perception in International Crisis*. Madison: University of Winconsin Press.
- Rahardjo, Mudjia. (2017). *Studi Kasus dalam Penelitian Kualitatif: Konsep dan Prosedurnya*. Malang: Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim.
- Reed, S.R. (1981). *Environmental politics: some reflections based on the Japanese case.* Comparative Politics.
- Reeves, Andrew. (2011). Political Disaster: Unilateral Powers, Electoral Incentives, and Presidential Disaster Declarations. The Journal of Politics, Vol. 73, No. 4, October 2011.
- Retamero, Rocio Garcia. Stephanie M. Muller & David L. Rousseau. (2012). *The Impact of Value Similarity and Power on The Perception of Threat*. Political Psychology.
- Richter, Jeffery P. (2016). *Japan's "Reinterpretation" of Article 9: A Pyrrhic Victory for American Foreign Policy?*. University of Iowa College of Law.

- Rosenbluth, Frances. Jun Saito, & Kyohei Yamada. (2009). Electoral Adaptation in Japan: Party Strategy after Electoral Rule Chang. Press.
- Rosen, Stephen Peter. (1988). *New Ways of War: Understanding Military Innovation*. International Security. 13 (1):134-168. July 1988.
- Rosen, Stephen Peter. (1994). Winning the Next War: Innovation and the Modern Military. Cornell Studies in Security Affairs. Paperback. May 3th 1994.
- Rousseau, David L. & Rocio Garcia-Retamero. (2007). *Identity, Power, and Threat Perception: A Cross-National Experimental Study*. Jurnal of Conflict Resolution Study. Vol. 51 No. 5.
- Sadao Asada. (1998). The Shock of the Atomic Bomb and Japan's Decision to Surrender: A Reconsideration. The Pacific Historical Review, Vol. 67, No. 4 (Nov. 1998).
- Sackton, Frank J. (Lt Gen, USA Ret). (1990). *The Gentle Conqueror: MacArthur in Japan*. Army, September 1990.
- Sahashi, Ryo. (2011). Japan's Security Strategy Toward China: Integration, Balancing, and Deterrence in the Era of Power Shift. Tokyo: The Tokyo Foundation.
- Sakaki, Alexander. (2015). *Japan's Crisis Management amid Growing Complexity: In Search of New Approaches*. Japanese Journal of Political Science.
- Sakaki, Alexander. (2015). *Japan's Security Policy: A Shift in Direction under Abe?*. Berlin: Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik German Institute for International and Security Affairs.
- Samuels, Richard J. (2007). Securing Japan: Tokyo's Grand Strategy and the Future of East Asia. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Sasada, Hironori. (2006). *Youth and Nationalism in Japan*. SAIS Review 26 (2): 109–22.
- Sasanuma, Joe Michael. (2004). *Japanese Electoral Politics: Reform, Results, and Prospects for the Future*. Boston College University Libraries.
- Samuels, Richard J. (2007). Securing Japan: Tokyo's Grand Strategy and the Future of East Asia. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Schafer, Fabian, Stefan Evert, & Philipp Heinrich (2017). *Japan's 2014 General Election: Political Bots, Right-Wing Internet Activism, and Prime Minister Shinzo Abe's Hidden Nationalist Agenda*. Vol. 5 No 4, Mary Ann Liebert, Inc.
- Schonberger, Howard B. (1989). Aftermath of War: Americans and the Remaking of Japan 1945-1952. Kent: Kent State UP.
- Schlosser, Anne-Marrie. (2012). *Japan-North Korea Relations*. The University of Witwatersrand.
- Schein, Edgar A. (1985). *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Schmitt C. (2004). *Political Theology: Four Chapters on the Concept of Sovereignty*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Schlling, Thomas C. & Morton H. Halperin. (1961). *Strategy and Arms Control*. Elsevier Science Ltd.

- Sevila, C.G., Ochave, J.A, Punsalan, T.G., Regala, B.P. & Uriarte, G.G. (1993). Pengaturan Metode Penelitian. Jakarta: UI Press.
- Shahandashti, Siamak F. (2016). *Electoral System Used Around The World*. Newcastle University.
- Shiratori, R. (1995). *The Politics of Electoral Reform in Japan*. International Political Science Review. Vol. 16, No. 1.
- Shirk, S. (2009). *Japan China: Fragile Superpower*. Oxford University Press.
- Siddiqiu, Samee. (2013). The Rise and Fall of the Democratic Party of Japan (DPJ): Prospects of a Two-Party System in Japan. Al Jazeera Center for Studies.
- Sinani, Blerton. (2013). A Critical-Legal Overview of the Concept of Constitution as the Highest Level-Political Act of the State. Tetovo: South East European University.
- Singh, Bhubhindar. (2015). The Development of Japanese Security Policy: A Long-Term Defensive Strategy. Asia Policy. No. 19.
- Smith, N. M. (2014). Facing the Nation: Sound, Fury, and Public Oratory among Japanese Right-Wing Groups. In Sound, Space and Sociality in Modern Japan, ed. J. D. Hankins and C. S. Stevens. London: Routledge.
- Smith, Sheila. (2014). *Japan's New Politics and the U.S.-Japan Alliance*. Council on Foreign Relation. July 2014.
- Smith, Sheila A. (2015). *Intimate Rivals: Japanese Domestic Politics and a Rising China*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Smith, Sheila. (2016). Will Japanese Change Their Constitution?. Foreign Affairs.
- Snyder, Glenn H. (1997). Alliance Politics. Ithaca, NY: Cornell UP.
- Soeya, Yoshihide. Masayuki, Tadokoro & David A. Welch. (2011). *Japan as a 'Normal Country'?: A Nation in Search of Its Place in the World*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Stake, Robert E. (1994). The Art of Case Study Research. Thousand Oaks, CA Sage.
- Starr, Harvey & Benjamin A. Most. (1976). *The Substance and Study of Borders in International Relations Research*. International Studies Quarterly, Vol. 20.
- Stokes, Susan. (2001). *Mandates and Democracy: Neoliberalism by Surprise in Latin America*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Sugano, Tamotsu. (2017). *Nippon kaigi no kenkyū* (*A Study of the Japan Conference*). Tokyo: Fusotsha. Social Science Japan Journal, Volume 20, Issue 2.
- Sugimoto, Y. (2010). *Collusion and Competition in the Establishment*. In An introduction to the Japanese society. Cambridge University Press.
- Supangat, Andi. (2007). *Statistika dalam Kajian Deskriptif, Inferensi, dan nonparametrik*. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group.
- Sugiyono. (2009). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R & D.* Bandung: Afabeta.
- Sutter, Robert G. (2016). *Chinese Foreign Relations: Power and Policy since the Cold War*, 4thedition. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Suzuki, Kazuto. (2018). *Japan's View of The North Korean Threat*. Istituto Affari Internazionali. Maret 2018.

- Strobel, Warren. (1994). North Korea Shops for Nuke Technology in Russia. Washington Press.
- Sven-Oliver Proksch, Jonathan B. Slapin, dan Michael F. Thies. (2010). Party System Dynamic in Post-War Japan: A Quantitative Content Analysis of Electoral Pledges. Elsevier.
- Szymkowiak, K., and P. G. Steinhoff. (1995). Wrapping Up in Something Long: Intimidation and Violence by Right-Wing Groups in Postwar Japan. In Terror from the Extreme Right, ed. T. Bjørgo, 265–298. London: Frank Cass.
- Tanter, Richard. (2009). *About Face: Japan's Remilitarisation*. Australia: Nautilus Institute.
- Takeuchi, Hiroki. (2016). The New Trend in Japanese Domestic Politics and Its Implications. Southern Methodist University.
- Tamamoto, Masaru. (2005). *How Japan Imagines China and Sees Itself*. Tokyo: Japan Institute of International Affairs.
- Teehankee, Julio. (2017). *Japanese Party Politics and Governance in Transition*. Yuhengco Center. University of Manilla.
- The Heritage Foundation. (2018). U.S Military Power.
- Thomson, Robert. (2001). The Programme to Policy Linkage: The Fulfilment of Election Pledge on Socio-economic Policy in Netherlands. European Journal of Political Research.
- Thomson, Robert. (2011). Citizens' evaluations of the fulfillment of election pledges: Evidence from Ireland. Journal of Politics.
- Tucker, T.G. (1985). Etymological dictionary of Latin. Ares publishers Inc., Chicago.
- Underdown, Michael. (1993). *Japanese politics and the July 1993 election: Continuity and Change*. Department of the Parliamentary Library.
- Universtas Bakrie. (2012). *Panduan Penulisan Tugas Akhir Mahasiswa*. Jakarta: Universitas Bakrie.
- Vaughan, Michael. (2013). *Japan's December 2012 Election: Some Notes and Observation*. Cesran.
- Vencalek, Emi Tsuyumu. (2015). Hot Economic, Cold Politics: The Influence of Anti-Japanese Protests on Japanese Foreign Direct Investment in China.
- Vrendenbreght, Jacob. (1984). *Metode dan Teknik Penelitian Masyarakat*. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Wada, Haruki. (2012). *Japan-North Korea Relations A Dangerous Stalemate*. The Asia-Pacific Journal, Vol. 25, No. 2.
- Wang, Shaoguang. (2000). *The Military Expenditure of China, 1989-1998*. Yale University Press.
- Watanabe, Tsuneo. (2015). International Dimensions of National (In)Security Concepts, Challenges and Ways Forward. Berlin: German Institute for International and Security Affairs.
- Watanabe, Osamu. (1987). A History of "Revisionism" of the Japanese Constitution. Tokyo: Nihon Hyoronsha.

- Watanuki, Joji. (1973). *Japan Public Opinion on The Most Threatening Country for Japan 1972-1992*. Institute of International Relations Research Papers C-8. Tokyo: Jochi University, December 1993.
- Watson, A. C. (2016). *Patterns of growth and the economic development of China*. The City University of New York. New York: The United States.
- Walt, Stephen M. (1987). *The Origins of Alliances*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Walt, Stephen M. (1995). Revolutions and War. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Waltz, Kenneth N. (1979). *Theory of international politics*. Reading Mass: Addison Wesley.
- Wakefield, Brice. (2014). Abe's Law: Domestic Dimension of Japan's Collective Self Defense Debate. Japanese Politics and International Relations Leiden University
- Welfield, J. (1988). An Empire in Eclipse: Japan in the Postwar American Alliance System. Atlantic Highlands: Athlone Press.
- Whiting, A. S. (1989). China Eyes Japan. University of California Press.
- Winter, Bryan. (2015). *Anti-China Protests Turn Social Movement*. Afro Asian Journal of Social Sciences.
- Winkler, Christian G. (2013). Right Rising? Ideology and the 2012 House of Representatives Election. United Kingdom: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Woodside, Adam M. (2015). *The Occupation of Japan: An Analysis of Three Phases of Development.* Parkland College.
- Wohlstetter, Albert. (1968). *Illusions of Distance*. Foreign Affairs, Vol. 46, No. 2 (Fall 1968).
- Wolkof, Benjamin & Galya Balatsky. (2012). Changes in Russia's Military and Nuclear Doctrine. Los Alamos National Laboratory. July 2012.
- Wright, John Nilsson & Kiichi Fujiwara. (2015. *Japan's Abe Administration Steering a Course between Pragmatism and Extremism*. Chatham House, Asia Programme.
- Wu, Chengqiu. (2008). Barking Up the Wrong Tree? The Master Narrative of "China Threat Theory" Examined. Sirpalib.Edu.
- Xu, Weidi. (2016). *China's Security Environtment and The Role of Nuclear Weapons*. Carnegie Endowment For International Peace.
- Yachi, Shotaro. (2014). More Proactive Contribution to Peace' Changes Japan's Diplomacy. Abe Administration's Policy Toward Asia and the United States. Japan Forum on International Relations.
- Yazov, Dmitrii T. Soviet Military Doctrine. RUS Journal, 134. Winter 1989.
- Yin, Robert K. (1994). *Case Study Research*. Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: SAGE Publications.
- Yoshida, T. (2006). The Making of the "Rape of Nanking": History and Memory in Japan, China, and the United States. New York: Oxford University Press.

- Yuji, Sasagase. Hayashi Keita & Sato Kei. (2015). *Japan's Largest Rightwing Organization: An Introduction to Nippon Kaigi*. Penerjemah: Victor Koshcmann. The Asia-Pacific Journal. Volume 13. Issue 50. Number 5.
- Zhang, Jian. (2015). China's New Foreign Policy under Xi Jinping: Towards 'Peaceful Rise 2.0'?. Global Change, Peace & Security.
- Zhao, S. (2005). *China's pragmatic nationalism: is it manageable?*. The Washington Quarterly, 29(1).
- Zheng, Y. (1999). Discovering Chinese nationalism in China: Modernization, identity, and international relations. Cambridge University Press.

Internet

- Abe, Shinzo. (2006). Following Visit to Republic of Korea. 9 Oktober 2006. Diakses pada 29 Maret 2018 dari http://www.kantei.go.jp/foreign/abespeech/
- Abe, Shinzo. (2013). Press Conference by Prime Minister Abe during His Visit to Southeast Asia. Speech, Press Conference. 27 Juli 2013. Diakses pada 28 Juli 2018 dari http://japan.kantei.go.jp/96_abe/statement/201307/27kaiken_e.html.
- Abe, Shinzo. (2012). Press Conference by Prime Minister Shinzo Abe. 26 Desember 2012. Diakses pada 28 Juli 2018 dari https://japan.kantei.go.jp/96_abe/statement/201212/26kaiken_e.html
- Abe, Shinzo. (2013). National Security Strategy. 17 Desember 2013. Diakses pada 30 Agustus 2018 dari http://japan.kantei.go.jp/96_abe/
- Abe, Shinzo. (2013). Remarks by Prime Minister Shinzo Abe on the Occasion of Accepting Hudson Institute's 2013 Herman Kahn Award. 25 September 2013. Diakses pada 30 Agustus 2018 dari http://www.kantei.go.jp/96 abe/statement
- Abe, Shinzo. (2014). *Speech in the Lower House*. 26 March 2014. Diakses pada 30 Juli 2018 dari http://kokkai.ndl.go.jp
- Abe, Shinzo. (2014). Press Conference by Prime Minister Abe. 1 Juli 2014. Diakses pada 30 Juli 2018 dari http://japan.kantei.go.jp/96
 http://japan.kantei.go.jp/96
 http://japan.kantei.go.jp/96
- Adam P. Liff. (2018). *Japan's Security Policy in the "Abe Era": Radical Transformation or Evolutionary Shift?*. Diakses pada 3 September 2018 dari https://tnsr.org/2018/05/japans-security-policy-in-the-abe-era-radical-transformation-or-evolutionary-shift/
- Adam Taylor. (2017). Changing Japan's pacifist constitution won't be easy for Abe. Washington Post. 24 Oktober 2017. Diakses pada 4 Maret 2018 dari https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/asia_pacific/changing-japans-pacifist-constitution-wont-be-easy-for-abe/2017/10/24
- Akiko Fukushima. (2014). *Japan's "Proactive Contribution to Peace" a Mere Political Label?*. 19 Juni 2014. Diakses pada 30 Agustus 2018 dari http://www.tokyofoundation.org/en/articles/2014/japans-proactive-contribution-to-peace

- Anna Fivield. (2015). Japan's push to revise its constitution isn't a bid to deny history, Abe Ally Says. Washington Post. 23 Mei 2015. Diakses pada 4 Maret 2018 dari
- Arshad Mohammed. (2015). U.S., Japan Unveil New Defense Guidelines for Global Japanese Role Reuters. 28 April 2015. Diakses pada 1 September 2018 dari http://www.reuters.com/article/2015/04/28/us-usa-japandefense-idUSKBN0NI08O20150428. 189. Id. 190.
- Asahi Shimbun. (2014). What Is The True Face of Proactive Pacifism?. 30 Mei 2014.

 Diakses pada 30 Agustus 2018 dari https://asahishimbun.com/asia/2053473383783
- Asahi Shimbun. (2014). What actually is Prime Minister Abe's 'active pacifism'. 15 Januari 2014. Diakses pada 30 Juli 2018 dari https://www.asahishimbun.jp/news/2014.W2VyK_n7TIU
- Asahi Shimbun. (2014). *Japan's Democracy to Be Put to the Test*. 2 Juli 2014. Diakses pada 30 Agustus 2018 dari http://ajw.asahi.com/article/views/editorial/AJ201407020038
- Asahi Shimbun. (2014). *Abe Offers 1st Explanation in Diet, But Many Not Buying It.* 15 Juli 2014. Diakses pada 30 Juli 2018 dari http://ajw.asahi.com/article/behind_news/politics/AJ201407150054
- BBC. (2002). *North Korea Confesses to Kidnappings*. BBC News. 17 September 2002. Diakses pada 12 September 2018 pukul 00:05 dari http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/asiapacific/2262074.so
- BBC. (2012). Japan election: LDP's Shinzo Abe vows tough China line. 16 Desember 2012. Diakses pada 5 Maret 2018 dari http://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-20747496
- BBC News. (2013). North Korea 'plans third nuclear test'. *BBC Asia-Pacific News*. 24 January 2013. Diakses pada 29 Maret 2018 dari http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-asia-
- BBC News. (2013). Obama: Nuclear Test 'Isolates North Korea Further'. BBC Asia-Pacific News. 13 Februari 2013. Diakses pada 29 Juni 2018 dari http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-asia-21437876
- Basu, Moni. (2012). Experts: Rocket Launch Bolsters North Korean Leader. CNN. 17 December 2012. Diakses pada 29 Maret 2018 dari <a href="http://edition.cnn.com/2012/12/16/world/asia/northkorealeader/index.html?hpt=ias-t2http://edition.cnn.com/2012/12/16/world/asia/north-korealeader/index.html?hpt=ias-t2
- Brown, Harold. (1978). Memorandum of Conversation with Defense Minister Kanemaru. Diakses pada 28 Juli 2018 dari <u>Harold Brown's Conversation</u> with Defense Minister Kanemaru, Memorandum of
- Burton, John. (1994). *North Korea in Nuclear Warning to Tokyo*. Financial Times. Dalam NTI, North Korea Nuclear Chronology.
- Cabinet Office of Japan. (2014). Cabinet Decision on Development of Seamless Security Legislation to Ensure Japan's Survival and Protect its People. 1

 Juli 2014. Diakses pada 30 Juli 2018 dari http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/gaiyou/jimu/pdf/anpohosei_eng.pdf

- Catalinac, Amy L. (2013). Not Made in China: Japan's Home-grown National Security Obsession. 6 Maret 2013. Diakses pada 5 Maret 2018 dari http://www.eastasiaforum.org/2013/03/06/not-made-in-china-japans-home-grown-national-security-obsession/
- Cheesman, Rest. (1994). Kim Cancels Visit to Peking. The Times dalam Lexis-Nexis Academic Universe. http://web.lexis-nexis.com.
- Chico Harlan. (2012). With China's Rise, Japan Shifts to The Right. The Washington Post. 20 September 2012. Diakses pada 5 Maret 2018 dari http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/asia_pacific/with-chinas-rise-japan-shifts-to-the-right/2012/09/20
- Chen Jimin. (2013). Solving The NorthEast Asia Security Dilemma. 10 Mei 2013. Diakses pada 6 Maret 2017 dari https://thediplomat.com/2013/05/solving-the-northeast-asia-security-dilemma/
- Daily Yomiuri. (1994). *Kanda Says Defenses Inadequate to Ward Off North Korean Attack*. 15 Juni 1994. Dalam Lexis-Nexis Academic Universe, http://web.lexis-nexis.com.
- Democratic Party of Japan. (2012). *Platform of the Democratic Party of Japan*. Diakses pada 22 Juli 2018 dari https://www.dpj.or.jp/english/about_us/dp
- Defense News. (2014). *Japan, Britain to Launch Joint Missile Research*. 17 Juli 2014. Diakses pada 31 Juli 2018 dari http://www.defensenews.com/article/20140717/DEFREG03/307170036/Japan-Britain
- Drudge, M. W. (2008). "Pork Barrel" Spending Emerging as Presidential Campaign Issue. United States of America Embassy. 12 April 2015. Diakses pada 5 Juli 2018 dari https://publications.america.gov/#axzz3XTv9uazN
- Global Indicator Database. Opinion on China. Pew Global Research. Diakses pada 17 September 2018 pukul 19:50 dari http://www.pewglobal.org/database/indicator/24/country/109/
- Global Security. (2000). *China Military Budget*. Diakses pada 9 Juli 2018 dari http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/world/china
- Han Sung-Joo. (2014). Power Shifts and Policy Dilemmas in Northeast Asia. 10 Januari 2014. Diakses pada 6 Maret 2018 dari http://www.theasanforum.org/power-shifts-and-policy-dilemmas-in-northeast-asia-2/
- Harper, Douglas. <u>Online Etymology Dictionary</u>. Diakses pada 15 Juni 2018 dari https://www.etymonline.com/search?q=Military
- Hubei Daily. (2013). 'Maneuver-5' Joint Exercise is Carried Out on Largest Scale;
 Three Fleets of the PLA Navy Jointly Forge Combat Power in Distant Sea.

 22 Oktober 2013. Diakses pada 15 Juli 2018 dari
 http://www.opensource.gov
- Japan Economic Newswire. (1994). Japan Confirms Second N. Korean Missile Test. 3

 Juni 1994. Dalam Lexis-Nexis Academic Universe. http://web.lexis-nexis.com

- Japan Times. (2012). Noda Dissolves Lower House for Election. 17 November 2012. Diakses dari https://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2012/11/17/national/noda-dissolves-lower-house-for-election/
- Japan Is Back: A Conversation With Shinzo Abe. Foreign Affairs, Juli 2013. diakses dari 11 Juni 2016 pukul 15:04 dari https://www.foreignaffairs.com/interviews/2013---05---16/japan---back
- Jeremy A. Yellen. (2014). *Shinzo Abe's Constitutional Ambitions*. The Diplomat 12 Juni 2014. Diakses pada 1 September 2018 dari http://thediplomat.com/2014/06/shinzo-abes-constitutional-ambitions
- Kanako, Takahara. 17 April 2007. SDF emerging as the military it truly is. Diakses pada 17 Juni 2018 dari https://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2007/04/17/reference/sdf-emerging-as-the-military-it-truly-is/#.WyaLbvn7TIV
- Kane, T. (2006). Global U.S. Troop Deployment, 1950-2005. *Heritage.org*. diakses pada 28 Juli 2018 dari http://www.heritage.org/research/reports/2006/05/global-ustroop-deployment-1950-2005
- Kaufman, Daniel & Veronika Penciakova. (2011). Japan's Triple Disaster: Governance and the Earthquake, Tsunami and Nuclear Crises. 16 Maret 2011. Diakses pada 5 Maret 2018 dari https://www.brookings.edu/opinions/japans-triple-disaster-governance-and-the-earthquake-tsunami-and-nuclear-crises/
- Kensington, Jeff. (2015). Assessing Japan's Rightward Shift at The Top. 24 Oktober 2015. Diakses pada 27 Juli 2018 dari https://www.japantimes.co.jp/opinion/2015/10/24/commentary/assessing-japans-rightward-shift-top/#.W1nav n7TIU
- Kenichi, Ito. (2009). *Proactive pacifism and the guiding principles of the Japanese-US alliance*. Japan Forum on International Relations, 7 Oktober 2009. Diaksses pada 30 Agustus 2018 dari http://www.jfir.or.jp/j/activities/pr/pdf/32.pdf
- Kitaoka, Shinichi. (2014). *The Turnabout of Japan's Security Policy: Toward 'Proactive Pacifism''*. Nippon.com, 2 April 2014. Diakses pada 30 JuliAgustus 2018 dari http://www.nippon.com/en/currents/d0010
- Kodera, Atsushi. (2015). *Inflation eludes, stock rise helps few, but yen's fall hurts many*. Japan Times, 3 April 2015. Diakses pada 22 Juli 2018 dari http://www.japantimes.co.jp/ news/2015/04/03/business/economy-business/inflation-eludes-stock-rise-helps-yens-fall-hurts-many/#.VdWVbX1UU3A.
- LDP announces a new draft Constitution for Japan. Jimin.Jp. 7 May 2012. Diakses pada 11 Juni 2016 dari https://www.jimin.jp/english/news/117099.html
- Liberal Democratic Party of Japan. (2012). 12 Days of Campaign Has Started for The LDP Presidential Selection. 14 September 2012. Diakses pada 5 Maret 2018 dari https://www.jimin.jp/english/news/118545.html
- Liberal Democratic Party of Japan. (2012). Political Promises. 19 September 2012. Diakses pada 5 Maret 2018 dari http://special.jimin.jp/political_promise/index.html

- Liberal Democratic Party of Japan. (2015). Secretary-General statement: On the LDP's 60th anniversary. 15 November 2015. Diakses pada 5 Maret 2018 dari https://www.jimin.jp/english/news/130942.html
- Liberal Democrats Library. (2014). *The LDP was created in 1955 with the merger of several political parties, and has controlled Japan for the vast majority of the post-war era*. Lib Dems. Diakses pada 1 September 2018 dari https://www.jimin.jp/english/about-ldp/history/104257.html
- Marine Corps Intelligence Activity. North Korea Country Handbook. Russel Road. Mei 1997.
- McKirdy, Euan & Junko Ogura. (2018). PM Abe Says Nuclear North Korea Greatest Threat to Japan Since WWII. 4 Januari 2018. Diakses pada 26 Juni 2018 dari https://edition.cnn.com/2018/01/04/asia/abe-north-korea-comments/index.html
- Michael MacArthur Bosack. (2017). Japan's Path to Constitutional Amendment: The steps Shinzo Abe must take to achieve constitutional revision, and the political implications. 26 Mei 2017. Diakses pada 3 Maret 2018 dari https://thediplomat.com/2017/05/japans-path-to-constitutional-amendment/
- Mullen, Jethro. (2013). North Korea warns that U.S. bases in Guam, Japan are within range. CNN. 22 Maret 2013. Diakses pada 29 Juni 2018 dari https://edition.cnn.com/2013/03/20/world/asia/north-korea-threats/index.html
- Nikkei Asian Review. (2013). For Japan's New Security Council, It's all about Communication. East Asian Forum. 3 Desember 2013. Diakses pada 31 Juli 2018 dari http://asia.nikkei.com/print/article/7592
- Nikkei Asian Review. (2014). *Japan Hopes to Sell Asean on Defense Tech.* 22 Agustus 2014. Diakses pada 31 Juli 2018 dari http://asia.nikkei.com/Politics-Economy/Policy-Politics/Japan-hopes-to-sell-Asean-on-defense-tech
- Paula, Hancocks. (2012). A Year at Helm, Kim Jong Un Asserts Himself. CNN.17 Desember 2012. Diakses pada 29 Juni 2018 dari //edition.cnn.com/2012/12/17/world/asia/kim-jong-unreview/index.html?hpt=hp
- Pekkanen, Robert. (2012). The 2012 Japanese Election Paradox: How the LDP Lost Voters and Won the Election. 18 Desember 2012. Diakses pada 5 maret 2018 dari http://nbr.org/research/activity.aspx?id=297
- President Barack Obama. (2015). Remarks by President Obama and Prime Minister Abe of Japan at Arrival Ceremony 28 April 2015. diakses pada 7 Oktober 2018 dari https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2015/04/28/remarks-president-obama-and-prime-minister-abe-japan-arrival-ceremony
- Paul Kallender-Umezu and Nigel Pittaway. (2014). *Japan, Australia Deal Poses Tech Issues*. Defense News. 15 Juni 2014. Diakses pada 31 Agustus 2018 dari http://www.defensenews.com/article/20140615/DEFREG03/306 http://www.defensenews.com/article/20140615/DEFREG03/306 http://www.defensenews.com/article/20140615/DEFREG03/306 http://www.defensenews.com/article/20140615/DEFREG03/306 https://www.defensenews.com/article/20140615/DEFREG03/306 https://www
- Press Conference by Prime Minister Shinzo Abe. Japan.Kantei.go.jp. 26 Desember 2012. Diakses 11 Juni 2016 dari https://japan.kantei.go.jp/96 abe/statement/201212/26kaiken_e.html

- Policy Speech by Prime Minister Shinzo Abe to the 185th Session of the Diet. Japan.Kantei.go.jp. 15 Oktober 2013. Diakses pada 11 Juni 2016 dari https://japan.kantei.go.jp/96_abe/statement/201310/15shoshin_e.html
- Randall, David. (2013). North Korea crisis: Kim Jong-un threatens 'all-out nuclear war'. Independent. 31 Maret 2013. Diakses pada 29 Juni 2018 dari https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/asia/north-korea-crisis-kim-jong-un-threatens-all-out-nuclear-war-8555350.html
- Reiji Yoshida & Tomohiro Osaki. (2014). Fiery Suicide Bid Shocks Shinjuku on Eve of Historic Security Decision. Japan Times. 30 Juni 2014. Diakses pada 1 September 2018 dari http://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2014/06/30/national/fiery-suicide-bid-shocks-shinjuku
- Shannon Dick & Hana Rudolph. (2014). *Japan Updates Arms Export Policy*. Stimson Spotlight. 24 April 2014. Diakses pada 31 Agustus 2018 dari http://www.stimpsonspotlight.com/japan-update
- Shankei Shinbun. (1996). Japanese Source Says Missiles Deployed Along Sea of Japan Coast. Dalam NTI, 2011.
- Shinichi, Kitaoka. (2014). The Turnabout of Japan's Security Policy: Toward 'Proactive Pacifism'. Nippon.com. 2 April 2014. Diakses pada 30 Agustus 2018 dari https://www.nippon.com/en/currents/d00108/
- Soda, Kazuhiro. (2008). *Politics and Political Campaign in Japan*. 29 Juli 2008. Diakses pada 5 Juli 2018 dari http://www.pbs.org/pov/campaign/background/
- Statistics Japan. (2016). *Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications*. Statistics. Diakses pada 27 Juli 2018 dari http://www.stat.go.jp/english/data/inde
- Takahashi, Toshiya. (2013). *Abe and A Japanese National Security Council*. Eat Asian Forum. 16 Juli 2013. Diakses pada 31 Juli 2018 dari http://www.eastasiaforum.org/2013/07/16/abe-and-a-japanese-national-security-council/
- The Ditchley Foundation. 7 Mei 2009. *The military's role and function in the 21st century*. Diakses pada 17 Juni 2018 dari http://www.ditchley.co.uk/conferences/past-programme/2000-2009/2009/militarys-role
- The National Security Archive. *Japan and the United States Part II:*Diplomatic, Security, and Economic Relations, 1977-1992. Diakses pada 28 Juli 2018 dari Cable, 25 March 1977
- The Economist. (2015). Right side up: A powerful if little-reported group claims it can restore the pre-war order. 15 Juni 2015. Diakses pada 31 Juli 2018 dari https://www.economist.com/asia/2015/06/04/right-side-up
- The LDP's Draft. Japan Times. 24 Agustus 2016. Diakses pada 20 Agustus 2016 dari http://www.japantimes.co.jp/opinion/2016/08/24/commentary/japan-commentary/ldps-draft-constitution/#.WP2Y3tLyi01
- Traphagan, John W. 17 Agustus 2012. How Japan Sees its Military. Diakses pada 17 Juni 2018 dari https://thediplomat.com/2012/08/how-japan-sees-its-military/

- Tucker, Joshua. (2012). 2012 Japan Post-Election Report: They Are Back! The Return of the LDP and What it Means for Japan and the World. 20 Desember 2012. Diakses pada 5 Maret 2018 dari http://themonkeycage.org/2012/12/2012-japan-post-election-report-they-are-back-the-return-of-the-ldp-and-what-it-means-for-japan-and-the-world/
- VOTE 2017: Constitutional revision backed by over 80% of Lower House. The Asahi Shimbun. 23 Oktober 2017. Diakses pada 4 Maret 2018 dari http://www.asahi.com/ajw/articles/AJ201710230048.html
- Wettach, Taylor M. (2015). *Building on Japan's National Security Council*. 30 September 2015. Diakses pada 30 Agustus 2018 dari http://www.eastasiaforum.org/2015/09/30/building-on-japans-national-security-council/
- Willacy, Mark. (2012). Japan Threatans to Shoot Down North Korean Rocket. ABC News. 3 Desember 2012. Diakses pada 29 Juni 2018 dari http://www.abc.net.au/news/2012-12-03/japan-threatens-to-shoot-down-north-korean-rocket/4403910
- World Bank. (2015). *China's overview*. Daiakses pada 10 Juli 2018 dari http://www.worldbank.org/en/country/china/overview
- Yomiuri Shimbun. (2014). Cabinet decision on new weapons export principles NSC issues its first implementation guideline. 1 April 2014. Diakses pada 31 Agustus 2018 dari https://yomiurishimbun.com/Cabinet-Decission
- Yomiuri Shimbun. (2014). *The Relevance of Proactive Pacifism*. 3 November 2013. Diakses pada 30 Agustus 2018 dari https://yomiurishimbun.com/asia/2014051010534

Data Unduhan

- Cabinet office The Government of Japan. (2002). Overview of the Public Opinion Survey on Diplomacy. Public Relations Office. Government of Japan.
- Japan Ministry of Defense. *The Guidelines for Japan-U.S. Defense Cooperation*. (2015). Diakses pada 1 September 2018 dari http://www.mod.go.jp/e/d act/anpo/pdf/shishin 20150427e.
- Konstitusi Jepang. diakses pada 11 Juni 2016 pukul 11:00 dari http://japan.kantei.go.jp/constitution and government of japan/constitution e.html
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs. (2002). *Diplomatic Blue Book* 2002. May 2002. Government of Japan. Diakses pada 17 September 2018 pukul 21:15 dari http://www.mofa.go.jp/policy/other/bluebook/2002/chap1-e.pdf
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs. (2005). *Diplomatic Blue Book 2005*. April 2002. Government of Japan. Diakses pada 17 September 2018 pukul 21:15 dari http://www.mofa.go.jp/policy/other/bluebook/2005/ch1.pdf
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs Japan. (2002). *Abduction of Japanese Citizens by North Korea*. Government of Japan, 2002. Diakses pada 17 September 2018 pukul 19:43 dari http://www.mofa.go.jp/region/asia-paci/n_korea/abduction/abductions_en.pdf

- National Diet Library. (2003). *U.S. Initial Post-Surrender Policy for Japan*. Diakses pada 29 Mei 2018 pukul 19:56 dari http://www.ndl.go.jp/constitution/e/shiryo/01/022shoshi.html
- National Diet Library. (1945). Politico-Military Problems in the Far East: United States Initial Post-Defeat Policy Relating to Japan. (SWNCC150/4/A). Diakses pada 29 Mei 2018 dari http://www.ndl.go.jp/constitution/e/shiryo/01/022 2/022 2tx.html.
- National Diet Library. (1966). *Birth of the Constitution of Japan*. (The Ministry of Foreign Affairs "Nihon Gaiko Nenpyo Narabini Shuyo Bunsho: 1840-1945" vol.2, 1966). Diakses pada 29 Mei 2018 pukul 19:41 dari http://www.ndl.go.jp/constitution/
- National Diet Library. (2013). Form and function of the Japanese NSC (National Security Council): Concept of the Japanese NSC, central issues in comparison with the US und Great Britain, and problems. ed. National Diet Library, Issue Brief 801 (October 2013).

Dokumen Resmi

- Cabinet Office of The Japanese Government. (2012). Public Polls Regarding to Defense Issues and Self Defense Forces. Tokyo: Cabinet Office of The Japanese Government.
- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2004). *National Defense Program Guidelines*. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense.
- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2009). *Japan Defense White Paper 2009*. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense.
- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2012). *Japan Defense White Paper 2012*. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense.
- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2013). *Japan Defense White Paper 2013*. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense.
- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2013). *National Security Strategy of Japan*. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense.
- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2014). *Japan Defense White Paper 2014*. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense.
- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2014). *National Defense Program Guidelines for FY 2014 and Beyond*. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense.
- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2014). Defense of Japan 2014. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense.
- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2010). *National Development Program Guidelines 2010*. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense.
- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2013). *National Development Program Guidelines 2013*. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense.
- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2013). *National Security Strategy 2013*. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense
- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2015). *Japan Defense White Paper 2015*. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense.

- Japan Ministry of Defense. (2015). *Defense of Japan 2015*. Tokyo: Ministry of Defense. The Ministry of National Defense. Republic of Korea. (1992). *Defense White paper 1992-1993*. Seoul: Ministry of National Defense.
- The Ministry of National Defense. Republic of Korea. (1994). *Defense White paper* 1994-1995. Seoul: Ministry of National Defense.
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs. (2015). Trends in Chinese Vessels in the Waters Surrounding The Senkaku Islands, and Japan's Response. Tokyo: Ministry of Foreign Affairs.
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs. (2015). Status of activities by Chinese government vessels and Chinese fishing vessels in waters surrounding the Senkaku Islands. Tokyo: Ministry of Foreign Affairs.
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China and CCCPC Party Literature

Research Office, eds. (1994). *Selected Works of Mao Zedong on Diplomacy*. Beijing: CCCPC Party Literature Publishing House and World Affairs Press.

Wawancara

Jun Saito. (2018). Personal Interview. Makoto Seta. (2018). Personal Interview Sejiro Takahashi. (2018). Personal Interview.

LAMPIRAN

Transkrip Wawancara 1

: Jun Saito, Ph. D Narasumber

Institusi : Former member of Japanese House Representative and

member of DPJ

Waktu, Tempat : 6 Juni 2018.

Ouestion: Japan had experienced a significant political change in 2009, After a half century long rule, the LDP was forced out of power and replaced by the DPJ. But then after 3 years in office, LDP dethroned the DPJ in 2012 election. What happen actually?

Answer

: LDP who has been a very pragmatic party and it did whatever it could to stay in power it changed its policy platform flexibly whenever it sensed the risk of electoral defeat in future elections second the LDP was the largest spending of pork barrel money in the world for instance back in the 1990s about 70% of the total government construction projects among OECD economies happened in the single country Japan in some years the Japanese government spend more money than on construction projects government construction projects than the US government spend on their military in terms of the gross amount that's how the LDP stay in power such a long time almost uninterrupted.

There are several factors as to why the LDP lose, I'm actually writing a book about the end of LDP dominant that I sent to you by e-mail last week. First of all the government has almost exhausted the monetary resources out of which they could slice policy benefits to their supporters. And then recent decentralization reform hurt the party significantly because the LDP effectively committed the political organization of suicide by firing their lawyer activists at the local community levels. In Japan unlike many other democracy in the world local politicians who serve in the municipal legislatures and mayor's for the almost paid activists for the LDP and because of the reason of the recent municipal government consolidation efforts pursued by the LDP a large number of politicians level lost jobs and because they no longer faced the electoral pressure for themselves they lost the incentive to campaign for the party. I am arguing that was the largest mistake the LDP government did.

Despite the initial surge in popularity due to great hopes for reform, The DPJ was beset by political difficulties because of two factors. One is that the DPJ had never been in power which means that they have lacked the experience of governance or government governing so I think they over promised in 2009 election campaign and was that they got into the power I think they found out that you know some of the problems that they discovered they can't deliver so I think that is a disappointment and I think they themselves lack of self-confidence and the second sort of idiosyncratic factor is the money in politics involving Ozawa Ichiro who was the powerhouse the party secretary the former party secretary over the party and how to meocure of the Prime Minister himself and these two big figures talked to figures in the DPJ had suffered this scandal problems and suffered of a lack of popularity so DPJ getting rid of these two leaders before 2010 upper house election to reinvent the party.

Question

: We see that during the 3 years of governing, it wasn't a very pleasant time for DPJ. Moreover, DPJ lose the upper house election even after like you said their effort to reinvent the party. Instead, the LDP coalitions win more seats then DPJ. Is that the Japanese simply like LDP or their policy?

Answer

: Ever since the Social Democratic Party withdrew from the ruling coalition in May 2010, Japan's National Diet has been split, with the ruling Democratic Party of Japan and People's New Party holding a majority in the House of Representatives but not in the upper house, the House of Councillors. And the July 2010 election for the upper house further reduced the DPJ's strength in the chamber. Besides that. There was the tension between diplomatic commitments and democratic accountability for instance after the DPJ took the helm of the Japanese government there has been tension between the US government and Japanese government regarding what to do with the US military base on the island of Okinawa. The DPJ is committed to their supporters to relocating the military base to somewhere else on the other hand the LDP administration had already cut a deal with the US government and we faced a lot of tensions between electoral accountability and diplomatic commitments.

People didn't necessarily like the party at all if you take a look at internationally comparable opinion poll surveys for instance the Japanese people's in the government institutions and the politicians they elect is the lowest among OECD countries and additionally violent oppression of opposition party leader which have been absent which had been problem in many other what is called electoral authoritarian regimes. Japan was different from those types of political system. Democratic institution in particular electoral institutions are the labor contract between the citizens and the political leaders if those rules of elections are poorly designed,

citizens have difficulty getting the job they want done by the government and the Japanese example provides abundant examples of such failures.

Question

: I'm going to ask about the thing which might be the most debatable topic in Japan, The article 9 amendment. What is DPJ view on this?

Answer

: I think the constitution will need to be amended. Post—World War II Japan has had a hard time with the issue of how to balance the pacifist ideal set forth in Article 9 of the Constitution against the reality of our security requirements. But in terms of the overall vision, the DPJ's position differs from what the Abe administration is aiming for with its security legislation.

In 2006 we came up with a proposal calling for creation of the Constitution. When people talk about revision of the Constitution, the discussion tends to focus excessively on Article 9 and become a debate about maintaining Japan's commitment to peace. Meanwhile, as a practical concern, we see human rights failing to be fully protected in public administration; this reflects the fact that the present Constitution contains no provisions directly relating to privacy rights, environmental rights, crime victims' rights, and so forth. We clarified the issues with our proposal, based on our conviction that the Constitution should be subject to constant review in this respect.

In 2013 the DPJ's Constitution Research Committee started deliberations, but unfortunately we've been going back and forth, failing to achieve real progress. Meanwhile, the Diet's bicameral Research Commission on the Constitution has started conducting substantive deliberations, but since the DPJ has yet to achieve an internal consensus, some people in our party have been trying to duck the issue with statements like "We won't take part in a debate over the Constitution under the Abe administration." I'm unhappy with this state of affairs.

As a healthy opposition party that has a clear view of the real world, the DPJ needs to get over its internal arguments about whether or not to take part in these discussions. It's not a productive debate.

Ouestion

: Does it mean that the DPJ isn't actually in total disagree with LDP regarding to the amendment of constitution? And in this case how does DPJ differ from LDP?

Answer

: To sum it up as a slogan, we're calling for "restraint afar, realism nearby, and active involvement in humanitarian reconstruction." This distinction between "afar" and "nearby" is our summation of the issues, which involve both a geographical perspective and the question of whether a given situation is one that relates directly to Japan's own peace and security.

The Abe administration, with its talk of "seamless" security, is trying to apply the same set of standards to everything, including problems that relate directly to Japan's peace and security and problems occurring on the

other side of the globe that have no such direct connection. In terms of the structure of the current Constitution, the administration's proposals go a bit beyond the bounds. In terms of implementation as well, the administration is overstretching, extending its reach too broadly.

On the issue of collective self-defense, the DPJ has decided that it cannot approve the Abe administration's move to allow the exercise of this right based on the new set of three conditions it has set forth, which are extremely vague. But that doesn't mean that our party has totally and permanently rejected exercising the right of collective self-defense. For example, Japan-US cooperation is necessary for missile defense and for dealing with situations in areas surrounding Japan. We don't deny that there is room under the current Constitution for activities by Japan that up to now have been seen as going beyond the scope of individual self-defense in areas like these.

Question: The Guidelines for Japan-US Defense Cooperation were revised in 2015, How did DPJ view this?

Answer

: The idea of revising the guidelines was an initiative proposed to the United States by Japan about six years ago, back when the DPJ was in power, and Morimoto Satoshi was minister of defense. I was serving as senior vice minister, and I traveled to the United States to present our proposal. It was unusual for the Japanese side to initiate a proposal of this sort; we did so in consideration of the fact that Japan's security environment had changed greatly.

The last time the guidelines had been revised, which was in 1997, it was in response to various requests from the US side arising from the inadequate response to the North Korean nuclear crisis of 1993–94; the revisions were then incorporated into the Act on Measures to Ensure the Peace and Security of Japan in Perilous Situations in Areas Surrounding Japan [enacted in 1999]. This time, when we presented our requests from the Japanese side, the Americans were initially reluctant to undertake a revision targeting China.

When we proposed the revision, we weren't totally ignoring the question of whether to go ahead with allowing the exercise of the right of collective self-defense from the outset. But we started bilateral discussions with a view to the needs of those on the front lines, and when the LDP returned to power, we passed our work on to the Abe administration, which was eager to allow the exercise of this right. That's how the present guidelines came into being.

North Korea now has more than two hundred Rodong missiles, which are mobile and have a range that covers almost all of the Japanese archipelago. It has built small nuclear warheads that can be mounted on its missiles,

some of which can now reach as far as the US mainland. Meanwhile, China's military power is three or four times Japan's. These major changes have made it necessary to review the sharing of roles, missions, and capabilities in the defense cooperation between Japan and the United States.

Transkrip Wawancara 2

Narasumber : Makoto Seta, Ph. D

Institusi : Yokohama City University

Waktu, Tempat : 22 Juni 2018, Interview melalui sambungan skype.

Question: What is your assessment of Prime Minister Abe Shinzo's security policy since 2012?

Answer

: There is one single issue that encapsulates the administration of Prime Minister Shinzo Abe that is the amendment of constitution. The problem that Mr. Abe has with the constitution is that it really wasn't written by Japanese and when he first came into power in 2006-2007 his constant refrain was we need a constitution written by our own hands. What happened was that following the defeat of Japan the government that took over from the wartime government promulgated its own produced, its own draft of what the constitution should be with a few what we thought cosmetic changes and Mc Arthur said this is insufficient we had to change it. That was exactly what happened over the course of six days they wrote a completely a new constitution and delivered the draft to the Japanese representatives who aghast to what it said but they weren't in a position to oppose what the US want. That is the constitution that we have today, it was promulgated in 1947 and not a single item in it. Not even a comma has been changed that time.

Amending the constitution especially article 9 is a two stage process, well actually three stages because both the house of representatives and the house of councilors has to pass an amendment by a two-thirds majority before it is put before the people. This is outlined in the article 96 of the constitution. And indeed Mr. Abe came into office promising both his supporters and also the nation at large that he was going to make changes that were going to make it possible to amend the constitution. Through various manuvers, LDP is putting in together the pieces to make changes in the security policy, which is the reinterpretation of article 9.

Ouestion

: Well I understand that Japan's security policy is gradually changed and not stagnant. And in 2012 when LDP back into power, Mr. Abe has made it clear that he hasn't given up with the amendment even if we know that this is not favorable in the eyes of the Japanese. where is this come from? Answer

: There are many facets behind Japanese security policy, national interests, diplomatic pressure from the US, constitutional constraints on the military brought on by Article 9 of the constitution and in recent years, regional security threats, and the emergence of a functional two-party political system.

Question

: I really interested when you said that the regional security threat and the emergence of a functional two-party political system have something to do with Mr. Abe's security policy. How is that so? What kind of effect those two variables have in security policy?

Answer

: If you ask Japanese wartime generation regarding the amendment, they will say that the article 9 is what keep Japan away from war because they believe that we have paid so much to US so that they should do their job on keeping Japan safe. And if someday there is a nuclear war break somewhere they believe that Japan will be fine. But now, the security environment has changed dramatically in East Asia. Japan is surrounded by hostile and aggressive neighbors, threatening Japan every single day with their nuclear and growing armies. Recently, we have this tragedy involving Japanese civilians being killed by ISIS, so Japan has to respond with security environment. Japan can't just depend to US, when the US has signaled that their control over East Asia is weakening due to China's rising and North Korean nuclear, Japan have other choices but to be strong.

Question: and how about the political system you mention before?

Answer

: Japan has two-party political system ever since we change the election system. Under the new election system, the parties can't win the election just by holding particular area, so they have to seek another supporter. And LDP understand this very well, they begin to put their concern in security policy since 1996 in order to gain a new basis of supporter so they can win the election. Actually, I'm not an expert in this area so I can't tell you much about this but I'll give you my friend's contact who can give you information regarding to this topic.

Question: Thank you sir. Well, back to the regional threat you mention before. How important is it to amend the constitution when Japan has already had SDF and like you said that they can make changes in the security policy just by making the reinterpretation?

Answer

: Every single changes in Japan security policy is strictly bind to article 9. What LDP do in order to have SDF and then the security environment become really serious with China's expanding economic, military and political power and its aggressive intrusions into Japan's territory, the increasingly hostile North Korea as well as territorial disputes with South Korea. And it's reinvigorated the debate over what is it that the SDF can do and that debate always come back to article 9 which is very clear and definitive you'll not have offensive weapons you'll only have defensive it will only be internal, it will not be to project power or military might outside of the bounds of the Japanese archipelago and it won't be directed in any way or manner towards offensive war.

Question: Isn't that the 2015 reinterpretation said? I guess the article 9 is even stricter than what you just recite at least on a literal level.

Answer

: Absolutely. You are right, when he first came in power 2006 he talked about the constitutional amendment and then backtracked off of it he tried revising article 96 and that's really an important article in the constitution as it describes the mechanics of how you go about amending the constitution. Abe need two-third majority in both house in order to push the referendum and amending the constitution. But no government has ever had two-thirds majorities in both house or at least stable ones and so this process has never been put into the test. The first thing that Abe proposed was let's change article 96 and which is let's change the requirement of article 96 to something less than two-thirds. That was floated it lasted for about 2-3 weeks as an idea and then it's been abandoned. After that the government shifted gears entirely and went right into reinterpreting the article 9 which is something that the US has always advocated as a fallback position in terms of getting Japan more involved in international affairs on a military level. Just to reinterpret the constitution and that's what the Abe claims in 2014. Reinterpretation just give you the incremental change and LDP and his basis of supporter are looking for something that's broader and more expensive. So the amendment is still important.

Question: I understand that Japan is seeing China and North Korea as a threat for the national security. Then do you think that China and North Korea have big impact towards Mr. Abe's security policy?

Answer

: The state of China's armed forces and North Korean missiles has proved to be threatening to justify an upgrade of Japan's military capabilities beyond the development of a defensive missile defense system. In this case, North Korea is seen as the most threatening one, so the impact of their nuclear test on Japan has been widespread. First, it brought the US-Japan alliance closer as President Bush vowed to defend Japan, South Korea and other American allies with the full range of deterrent and security commitments. The US Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice visited Tokyo soon after the test in an effort to tighten the noose around Pyongyang in cooperation with Japan, and also to affirm Washington's alliance

commitments. Mr. Abe termed Rice's visit as reassuring and said he would work towards further strengthening the partnership.

Second, the perceived potential threat from North Korea and China change the fundamental character of Japan's defense and security policies and has so far led to an upgrade of the country's defense capabilities and equipment. To be sure, Japan has spent \$48 billion on defense in 2008 and its armed forces and its coast guard for that matter and its military is equipped with state-of-the-art military equipment. North Korea's threat to resume its nuclear program and the missile launch has the potential to revive the inner-Japan discussions and debates to boost up the country's defense capabilities to deal with North Korean military threat.

Part of Japan's defense establishment will continue to use the military threat from North Korea and China as justification to request an upgrade of Japan's military capabilities. And North Korea's missile launch 'help' to keep these debates alive and relevant within Japan's policymaking circles. North Korea's military threat will all the same continue to partly shape the security strategies.

Transkrip Wawancara 3

Narasumber : Dr. Sejiro Takahashi

Institusi : Japan Institute of International Affairs

Waktu, Tempat : 7 Agustus 2018, sambungan melalui skype

Question: What is your assessment of Prime Minister Abe Shinzo's security policy? what is the driver of the security policy?

Answer

: I think one of the reasons why Mr. Abe proposed for a radical security policy is about the changing of Japan's security environment recently, however the dramatic changing of his security policy is not being so much about the threats from other countries. The party was taking different position in security policy since we have the dual party system. They promised to make Japan stronger and safer for the citizens by amending the constitution and break the long pacifist culture of Japan.

Ouestion: I understand that Japan had changed their election system in 1994 which shaped the party system from the dominant one party system into two party system. But how this has something to do with the security policy?

Answer

: Under the 1955 system, we only have LDP as the dominant party in Japan. Then it changed after the opposition decided to form a big coalition to topple LDP in the middle of economic crisis and the defection of LDP's member. They did won the election even if LDP still got the biggest votes but they couldn't form the government. The new government decided to change the election system which is why we have the dual party system today. Under the new system, LDP realized that they couldn't win the new election with the same way they used to use, moreover with a series of scandal being exposed to the public. LDP is a coalition of hereditary political families and big businesses so that their policy is focused on infrastructure and development which dedicated to pay back their supporter. But under the new system, they have got to find a new basis of supporter even if it means that they would lose some of their current supporter. And 'fortunately', Japan had experienced a series of threatening moves from the neighbors with China military modernization and the North Korean nuclear test which shocked the citizens. LDP was taking advantages from this situation by making use of citizens' fear to make a safer Japan while fixing the economic condition. When the current government had a hard time due to the economic crisis and didn't do any significant effort to stop the threat,

LDP comes with the new formulation of policy and manage to win the election.

Question : Are you saying that behind LDP's security concern lies the agenda of winning the election and not really about the security environment surrounding Japan?

Answer

: Under the 1955 system, LDP tend to put Japan's security as US obligation, but when the new election system was being implemented for the first time in 1996, they changed their stance in security policy after witnessing how the threats had a great impact to the citizens. I'm not saying that the threat don't really matter because LDP couldn't use this as political promise without their existence. It just that the security policy is not being so much about the threats but also the mission to gain the new basis of supporter and winning the election.

Question: Well I understand that LDP was starting to put their concern on security policy since 1996 as part of the strategy of winning the election. But how this new basis of supporter like you mention, has this great impact to put LDP back in power?

Answer

: Since the implementation of the current constitution of Japan, We have political extremism to the right and left which managed to influence public opinion in certain topics. In this case, we are going to talk about the influential right extremism groups. This may be hard for foreigners to understand that there is a Japanese democracy with the Emperor at the very top and Japanese have had this system for thousands of years. When the WW II ended, the alliance came in dismantled Japan's military and wrote us a new constitution which ensured that Japan would never get so powerful again. And for the nationalists, this was the moment that everything was lost, Japan was brought into its knees, stripped of the pride and national values. The right extremism group believed that the constitution was made to weaken Japan and they want to make Japan to be strong again by replacing the current constitution.

Question: Does it mean that they become the so called new basis of supporter for LDP to back in power since they are really concern to make Japan stronger like before?

Answer

: I have to tell you that the right extremism groups have many different types and they are a very visible phenomenon in Japan because some groups like Uyoku Dantai is such a demilitarized and basically pacifist society over all that these highly militant and cosplay people who dress up often in Japan military uniforms of the pre-1945 era they stand out there, you can see them all over Japan but more in central Tokyo because of the

presence of various embassies. They are always protesting against the Russian embassy, they are always protesting against the Chinese embassy from time to time. If you're going to the Chinese embassy or toward the South Korean embassy or the Association of South Korean and residence. You will see these groups. Now, it's not going to be a lot of people except on certain days. August the 15th is one of their favorites.

Question: They often have demonstrations?

Answer

: They do show up a lot. But that's their really big day that you see them also the day that the, what is called the commemoration day for Dokdo, for the island in the Sea of Japan which Japan says is Takeshima where however there are Korean police officers and the in fact the Koreans are having a military exercise right now. Defending them against a possible Japanese invasion. It's a very strange situation there where the exercises against North Korea have been stopped, but the exercises defending against Japan are still there and their point of views and it's not entirely unreasonable. That is South Korean point of view is these right-wing groups. Not Japanese coast guard, not Japanese military but these rightwing groups will or possibly can make a political pint. And then we would have a situation and they do have a history of doing that frequently land on the senkaku islands even though the government tries hard to keep everybody off those islands in any way possible very frequently. They get there frequently as a protest against the Chinese government and they certainly make their noises about dealing with the Dokdo-Takeshima. But they are not just about territoriality. They certainly do make a lot of noise. They have on top of their vehicles which are painted black either buses or vans. They crank those decibels up that has these speaker on there which it's illegal. There is a dance that happens, they are playing patriotic music from the pre-1940 motor and there's someone screaming through a microphone at some implications about return our island, stop insulting our country, stop insulting our Emperor if they're passing in front the Asahi Shimbun. And they use sound as a means for their primary of trying to intimidate people.

Question: Why in this hierarchy of components of Japanese politics does this extremist right groups hold place of some consideration?

Answer

: Saying that Japan has been weakened in the post-war era and if it wishes to be respected, if Japanese wish to be protected they have to reawakened the fires that existed inside the Japanese spirit. We are proud people, we aren't defeated and there are all kinds of subgroups that sometimes engage in rather dispirited alteractions between themselves. Various flavors. Frequently having to do with how closely to coordinate with the US, Some

are ultimately hate the US, others see themselves as defenders of this postwar order where Japan is okay weak and we need a big brother in the US and so all kinds of flavors and many of them had are go back all the way to Meiji period in terms of their ancestry so these are all kinds of devided groups. What makes them interesting of course is there one relation to some politicians both in terms of they have tried to intimidate certain politicians, certainly what they tried to do with to one group tried to do with to one group tried to do to PM Takshta though was a time that clearly they were pro to where he was and loudly protest but also because they are also the clearly the support of some of Japan's very prominent right conservative. We would have to say surreptitiously of our own governer Koukei because she clearly receive the very conservative nationalist vote. A few years back, we have general Tamagami of the Self-defense air forces. He cashiered for writing an essay, arguing that Japan did nothing wrong prior to 1941 or 1945 and that everything that happened was entirely okay. Which is not okay for an active duty officer to write that kind of statement and he was forced to retire. He came back as a politician and in the Tokyo's governer's race, he absolutely stunned everyone by getting 600.000 votes. Where did these people, where they come from, why would they vote for him, he'snow currently in deep guano for you know dipping into his campaign finance funds for personal use and he's probably gonna go to Jail. But that's 600.000 in the cosmopolitan city of Tokyo it tells you something, there is an electorate there, that electorate clearly went to Koike. No one wants to talk about that but you know it's there. The right-wing group does provide votes, it may not provide muscle like it did in the pat but certainly they can intimidate in many cases particularly the teachers union is dominated by the communist party.

Question

: I understand that this extremist group have a significant role in Japan's politics. But some sources stated that this right extremist group have a relation with Yakuza? How do you think about it?

Answer

: As I told you that the right extremism groups have many different types. Some groups play the part as right extremist as cover for their Yakuza style activities. But others have wonderful ideals despite being under the wing of the Yakuza. There are many of them are in fact simply subdivions of major gangster gangs organization. They were clearly organized to provide cover for illegal activities under the ages of freedom of speech and freedom of assembly. You might be able to break up a yakuza meeting but you can't break up our far right-wing meeting because that's protected by the articles in the constitution of freedom assembly. So certainly there is an image not only violence but of criminality associated with many of the right-wing groups it hasn't helped by the fact that almost all of the assassination that

have been carried the very few that have been carried in the post-war era are by members of these war right-wing groups. Left wings attacks on major figures hardly existed but in terms of the head of socialist party who assassinated by a 17 year old right wing on live television have been very famous incident. These right-wing group have been seen as the reinforces are a tacit patriotism then we all have to adhere to and they're the ones who make the historical revisionism. The idea that Japan did nothing wrong and it was just one other imperial.