REDUCED REFERENCE IMAGE QUALITY ASSESSMENT FOR HIGH DYNAMIC RANGE BASED ON TONE-MAPPING OPERATOR

UNDERGRADUATE THESIS



SALMAA BADRIATU SYAFA'AH 1152001001

INFORMATICS STUDY PROGRAM FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE BAKRIE UNIVERSITY JAKARTA 2019

REDUCED REFERENCE IMAGE QUALITY ASSESSMENT FOR HIGH DYNAMIC RANGE BASED ON TONE-MAPPING OPERATOR

UNDERGRADUATE THESIS Submitted as a partial fulfillment to obtain Bachelor Degree (S1) in Informatics Study Program, Bakrie University



SALMAA BADRIATU SYAFA'AH 1152001001

INFORMATICS STUDY PROGRAM FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE BAKRIE UNIVERSITY JAKARTA 2019

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

The material in this Undergraduate Thesis is the final result of my own employment, all sources are quoted and cited properly.

Name NIM Signature : Salmaa Badriatu Syafa'ah : 1152001001 : 0 1

Date

: August 21th, 2019

STATEMENT OF APPROVAL

This Thesis is prepared and submitted by:Name: Salmaa Badriatu Syafa'ahNIM: 1152001001Departement: InformaticsFaculty: Engineering and Computer ScienceTitle: Reduced-Reference Image Quality Assessment for
High Dynamic Range based on Tone-Mapping Operator

has been approved by the Board of Examiners and accepted as a partial fulfilment of the requirements to obtain a Bachelor degree in Informatics Department, Faculty of Engineering and Computer Science, Bakrie University.

BOARD OF EXAMINERS

Supervisor

: Irwan Prasetya Gunawan, S.T, M.Eng, Ph.D

Examiner I : Yusuf Lestanto, S.T., M.Sc

Examiner II

: Dr. Siti Rohajawati, S.Kom, M.Kom

Authorized in: JakartaDate: August 21th, 2019

Multon,)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise to Allah SWT for blessing, mercy, health, and opportunity so this undergraduate thesis can be completed. This undergraduate thesis entitled "Reduce Reference Image Quality Assessment for High Dynamic Range based on Tone-Mapping Operator" is submitted as a final requirement to accomplish Bachelor Degree of Computer at the Informatics Study Program, Bakrie University.

In arranging this thesis, a lot of people have provided motivation, advice, and support for the researcher. Without them, this report cannot be finished well and on time. In this valuable chance, the researcher intended to express his gratitude and appreciation to :

- 1. My supervisor, Mr. Irwan Prasetya Gunawan, S.T, M.Eng, Ph.D. for his supervision, advice, and guidance from the very early step of this research as well as giving me knowledge and extraordinary experiences throughout the past few years.
- Mr. Yusuf Lestanto, S.T., M.Sc. and Mrs. Dr. Siti Rohajawati S.Kom, M.Kom as an examiner in this thesis who have given some suggestions to improve this research.
- 3. My superheroes, Mom and Dad for everlasting love, support and pray.
- 4. All of the lecturers in Informatics Bakrie University for their motivation, guidance, and advice in the last four years.
- 5. My super grandfather, Abdullah Ibrahim who always inspired me.
- 6. All of my family that supports me all of the time.
- 7. The one and only partner in this undergraduate thesis, Ocarina Cloramidina for her unlimited patience, help, support and, encouragement.
- 8. Ajeng Rofifa Nugrafitri Akbar, Prima Dona Khairul, Rifinda Fitriani who given their every seconds for me just to listen, support and motivate me.
- All of 'Member Tetap' i.e. Alhamsya Bintang Dyasta, Ahmad Novel Gadran, Aziz Sentosa Setiana, and also Prima Dona Khairul who give me a lot of fun and support during this research in Cisco Laboratory.

- 10. My beloved friends from Informatics 2015 muklis, azmi, ayu, mira, aji, faiz, ogi, dodo, nadika, raka, rani, yoga for every togetherness in the last four years.
- 11. Nagita Gianty Annisa, Affifah Tata Tanjung for given their time to go out with me to do this thesis.
- 12. All of the seniors in Informatics Bakrie University who have shared their experiences, motivation, assisstance during the last few years.
- 13. My supervisor and I would like to thank the Indonesian Ministry of Research and Higher Education under the contract No. 11/AKM/PNT/2019, and Bakrie University, Indonesia, under the contract No. 086/SPK/LPP-UB/III/2019 and No. 141/SPK/LPP-UB/III/2019 for the partial support in to the research presented in this undergraduate thesis.

There is no perfection in every compossing of a report. Therefore, some critics and feedbacks from readers are needed for the sake of report completion. Hopefully, this thesis report can bring benefits for readers.

> Jakarta, August 21th, 2019 Author

Salmaa Badriatu Syafa'ah

DECLARATION OF PARTIAL COPYRIGHT LICENSE

As student of Bakrie University, I hereby: Name : Salmaa Badriatu Syafa'ah NIM : 1152001001 Departement : Informatics

The author, whose copyright is declared on the title page of this work, agree and grant Bakrie University a non-exclusive royalty free right for educational and academic endeavour with this Undergraduate Thesis titled:

REDUCED REFERENCE IMAGE QUALITY ASSESSMENT FOR HIGH DYNAMIC RANGE BASED ON TONE-MAPPING OPERATOR

With the granted permission to use this material, Bakrie University is allowed to keep or make digital copy, communicate, and publish this Undergraduate Thesis by providing full acknowledgement of the copyright and the source of the material.

It is understood that copying and publicizing of this work for financial gain shall not be allowed without written permission. The original Partial Copyright License attesting to these terms, and signed by this author, may be found in the original bound copy of this work, retained in the Bakrie University Archive.

> Authorized in : Jakarta Date : August 21th, 2019

> > Approved by

(Salmaa Badriatu Syafa'ah)

v

REDUCED REFERENCE IMAGE QUALITY ASSESSMENT FOR HIGH DYNAMIC RANGE BASED ON TONE-MAPPING OPERATOR

Salmaa Badriatu Syafaah¹

Abstract

This thesis propose an objective image quality assessment for High Dynamic Range (HDR) images with reduced reference based on Tone Mapping Operator (TMO). As we know, HDR images can now be displayed on a standard device such as a smartphone. The valuation method here is classified as the Reduce-Reference (RR) method as only partial reference information is available. First, the TMO images and the reference images is extracted. Then, the images quality score is measured with the features extracted. This study use three main models i.e. Quality Assessment 1 (QA1), Quality Assessment 2 (QA2), and Quality Assessment 3 (QA3). To know how well this model, Pearson and Spearman correlation is applied. As the ground thruth data, public subjective score is utilized. The result show that feature combine is the best feature with QA1_Cubed as the highest correlation.

Keywords : Objective Quality Assessment, Tone Mapping Operator (TMO), Reduce-Reference (RR), High Dynamic Range (HDR)

¹Undergraduate Student of Informatics Study Program, Bakrie University

Contents

St	ateme	ent of Originality	i
St	ateme	ent of Approval	ii
Ac	cknow	vledgement	iii
Co	opyrig	ght Lisence	v
Al	ostrac	:t	vi
Ta	ble of	f Contents	vi
Li	st of I	Figures	X
Li	st of]	Fables	xiv
Li	st of A	Abbreviations	xvi
I	Intr	oduction	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Problems	3
	1.3	Goals	3
	1.4	Scope of Research	3
	1.5	Benefit of Research	4
	1.6	Publications	4
	1.7	Outline of Thesis	4
	1.8	Summary	5
II	Lite	rature Review	6
	2.1	Related Works	6
	2.2	High Dynamic Range (HDR)	10
		2.2.1 HDR vs SDR	11

2.3 Tone Mapping Operator	ng Pipeline	14
2.4 Image Quality Assessment 2.4.1 Subjective Quality Assessment 2.4.2 Objective Quality Assessment 2.5 HDR Image Quality Assessment 2.5.1 BHDR-IQA [24] 2.5.2 NR-HDR-IQA [20] 2.5.3 NR-TMO [27] 2.5.4 FR-TMO [51] 2.5.5 HDR-VDP-2 [31] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.6 Summary 3.1 Research Phase 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.2 Research Tools 3.3 Research Framework 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.5.1 <td>ator</td> <td> 14</td>	ator	14
2.4.1 Subjective Quality Assessment 2.4.2 Objective Quality Assessment 2.5 HDR Image Quality Assessment 2.5.1 BHDR-IQA [24] 2.5.2 NR-HDR-IQA [20] 2.5.3 NR-TMO [27] 2.5.4 FR-TMO [51] 2.5.5 HDR-VDP-2 [31] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.6 Summary 2.6 Summary 3.1 Research Methodology 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.2 Research Tools 3.3 Research Framework 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.	ssment	
2.4.2 Objective Quality Assessment 2.5 HDR Image Quality Assessment 2.5.1 BHDR-IQA [24] 2.5.2 NR-HDR-IQA [20] 2.5.3 NR-TMO [27] 2.5.4 FR-TMO [51] 2.5.5 HDR-VDP-2 [31] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.6 Summary 2.6 Summary 3.1 Research Methodology 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.2 Research Tools 3.3 Research Framework 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.5.3 </td <td>Quality Assessment</td> <td> 17</td>	Quality Assessment	17
2.5 HDR Image Quality Assessment 2.5.1 BHDR-IQA [24] 2.5.2 NR-HDR-IQA [20] 2.5.3 NR-TMO [27] 2.5.4 FR-TMO [51] 2.5.5 HDR-VDP-2 [31] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.6 Summary 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.6 Summary 3.1 Research Methodology 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.2 Research Framework 3.3 Research Framework 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1	uality Assessment	18
2.5.1 BHDR-IQA [24] 2.5.2 NR-HDR-IQA [20] 2.5.3 NR-TMO [27] 2.5.4 FR-TMO [51] 2.5.5 HDR-VDP-2 [31] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.6 Summary 2.6 Summary 2.6 Summary 2.6 Summary 3.1 Research Methodology 3.1 Research Phase 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.2 Research Framework 3.3 Research Framework 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3	Assessment	20
2.5.2 NR-HDR-IQA [20] 2.5.3 NR-TMO [27] 2.5.4 FR-TMO [51] 2.5.5 HDR-VDP-2 [31] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.6 Summary 2.6 Summary 3.1 Research Methodology 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1.6 Research Tools 3.1.7 Proposed Method 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2) 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Monotonicity 3.6	[24]	20
2.5.3 NR-TMO [27] 2.5.4 FR-TMO [51] 2.5.5 HDR-VDP-2 [31] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.6 Summary 2.6 Summary 3.1 Research Methodology 3.1 Research Phase 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1.6 Research Tools 3.1.7 Research Framework 3.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Monotonicity 3.6.3 Prediction Consistency	QA [20]	22
2.5.4 FR-TMO [51] 2.5.5 HDR-VDP-2 [31] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.6 Summary 3.1 Research Methodology 3.1 Research Phase 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.2 Research Tools 3.3 Research Tools 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Consistency	7]	23
2.5.5 HDR-VDP-2 [31] 2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.6 Summary 3.1 Research Methodology 3.1 Research Phase 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1.6 Research Tools 3.1 Research Framework 3.3 Research Framework 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2) 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Consistency	1]	24
2.5.6 DRI-IQA [5] 2.6 Summary III Research Methodology 3.1 Research Phase 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1.6 Research Tools 3.1.7 Research Framework 3.1.8 Research Framework 3.19 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.1 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2) 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Consistency	2 [31]	26
2.6 Summary III Research Methodology 3.1 Research Phase 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1 Research Tools 3.1 Research Tools 3.3 Research Tools 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Consistency]	27
III Research Methodology 3.1 Research Phase 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1.6 Conducting Research 3.1.7 Research Tools 3.1.8 Research Tools 3.19 Proposed Method 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Monotonicity		29
III Research Methodology 3.1 Research Phase 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1.6 Research Tools 3.1.7 Research Tools 3.1.8 Research Tools 3.1.9 Research Framework 3.10 Research Framework 3.11 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2) 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Monotonicity 3.6.3 Prediction Consistency		•
3.1 Research Phase 3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1 Research Tools 3.1 Research Tools 3.3 Research Framework 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2) 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Monotonicity		30
3.1.1 Literature Study 3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1.5 Research Tools 3.1.5 Research Framework 3.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2) 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Monotonicity	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3.1.2 Problem Formulation 3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1.6 Reporting 3.1.7 Research Tools 3.1.8 Research Tools 3.1.9 Research Tools 3.1.10 Research Tools 3.1.10 Research Tools 3.1.10 Research Tools 3.1.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2) 3.5.3	udy	
3.1.3 Data Collection 3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1.5 Research Tools 3.2 Research Tools 3.3 Research Framework 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2) 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Consistency	mulation	
3.1.4 Conducting Research 3.1.5 Reporting 3.1.5 Reporting 3.2 Research Tools 3.3 Research Framework 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2) 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Monotonicity 3.6.3 Prediction Consistency	ion	31
3.1.5 Reporting 3.2 Research Tools 3.3 Research Framework 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4 Proposed Method 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2) 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Monotonicity 3.6.3 Prediction Consistency	Research	31
 3.2 Research Tools		32
 3.3 Research Framework		32
 3.4 Proposed Method	٤	32
 3.4.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine		33
3.4.2 Average/Mean HSV Color Edge Strength 3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5 Evaluation Method 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2) 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Monotonicity 3.6.3 Prediction Consistency	d HSV Color Edge Strength	33
3.4.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.4.5 Feature Combine 3.5 Evaluation Method 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1) 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2) 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3) 3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Monotonicity 3.6.3 Prediction Consistency	an HSV Color Edge Strength	34
 3.4.4 Average/Mean Color LAB Edge Strength 3.4.5 Feature Combine	d LAB Color Edge Strength	34
3.4.5 Feature Combine	an Color LAB Edge Strength	34
 3.5 Evaluation Method	bine	34
 3.5.1 Quality Assessment 1 (QA1)		35
 3.5.2 Quality Assessment 2 (QA2)	essment 1 (QA1)	35
 3.5.3 Quality Assessment 3 (QA3)	essment 2 (QA2)	36
3.6 Performance Criteria 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy 3.6.2 Prediction Monotonicity 3.6.3 Prediction Consistency	essment 3 (QA3)	36
 3.6.1 Prediction Accuracy	a	37
3.6.2 Prediction Monotonicity	ccuracy	37
3.6.3 Prediction Consistency	Ionotonicity	38
	onsistency	38
3.7 Research Schedule		38

3.8	Summary	39
IV Rest	ults and Analysis	40
4.1	Proposed Method	40
	4.1.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength	40
	4.1.2 Average HSV Color Edge Strength	42
	4.1.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength	44
	4.1.4 Average LAB Color Edge Strength	46
4.2	Performance Evaluation	48
	4.2.1 Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength	48
	4.2.2 Average HSV Color Edge Strength	51
	4.2.3 Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength	51
	4.2.4 Average LAB Color Edge Strength	56
	4.2.5 Feature Combine	56
4.3	Disscussion	58
4.4	Summary	59
V Con	clusion and Future Works	60
5.1	Conclusions	60
5.2	Future Works	61
Bibliog	raphy	62
A HDI	R TMO Process Image Dataset	68
B Qua	lity Assessment per Features	71

List of Figures

2.1	Different exposures of the same scene that allow the capture of very	
	bright (left) and dark areas (right) [3]	10
2.2	conventional images (left) versus HDR images [39]	11
2.3	The dynamic range of a actual-world scene also with the ability of	
	the HVS capture and display technology [9]	12
2.4	Color gamut [39]	13
2.5	The differences about SDR vs HDR [36]	13
2.6	HDRI pipeline [4]	15
2.7	Image Quality Method [34]	17
2.8	Full-reference model (FR-model)[21]	18
2.9	Reduce-reference model (RR-model)[21]	19
2.10	No-reference model (NR-model)[21]	19
2.11	(left)Tone-mapped HDR images (right) Saliency map calculated on	
	HDR [24]	20
2.12	Block Diagram of the two visual metrics [31]	27
2.13	Block Diagram of Dynamic Range Image IQA [5]	28
3.1	Phase of Research	30
3.2	Research Framework	32
4.1	MasonLake(1): Top Left: First HDR reference image. Top Right:	
	Reinhard algorithm. <i>Buttom Left:</i> Fattal algorithm. <i>Buttom Right:</i>	
	Durand algorithm.	41
4.2	MiddlePond: Top Left: Second HDR reference image. Top Right:	
	Reinhard algorithm. <i>Buttom Left:</i> Fattal algorithm. <i>Buttom Right:</i>	
	Durand algorithm.	41
4.3	MasonLake(1): Top Left: First HDR reference HSV image. Top	
	<i>Right:</i> Reinhard algorithm HSV image. <i>Buttom Left:</i> Fattal	
	algorithm HSV image. <i>Buttom Right:</i> Durand algorithm HSV image.	42

4.4	MiddlePond: Top Left: First HDR reference HSV image. Top	
	Right: Reinhard algorithm HSV image. Buttom Left: Fattal	
	algorithm HSV image. Buttom Right: Durand algorithm HSV image.	42
4.5	MasonLake(1): Top Left: First HDR reference gradient image. Top	
	Right: Reinhard algorithm gradient image. Buttom Left: Fattal	
	algorithm gradient image. Buttom Right: Durand algorithm	
	gradient image.	43
4.6	MiddlePond: Top Left: First HDR reference gradient image. Top	
	Right: Reinhard algorithm gradient image. Buttom Left: Fattal	
	algorithm gradient image. Buttom Right: Durand algorithm	
	gradient image.	43
4.7	MasonLake(1): Top Left: First HDR reference gradient image. Top	
	Right: Reinhard algorithm gradient image. Buttom Left: Fattal	
	algorithm gradient image. Buttom Right: Durand algorithm	
	gradient image.	44
4.8	MiddlePond: Top Left: First HDR reference gradient image. Top	
	Right: Reinhard algorithm gradient image. Buttom Left: Fattal	
	algorithm gradient image. Buttom Right: Durand algorithm	
	gradient image.	44
4.9	MasonLake(1): Top Left: First HDR reference LAB image. Top	
	Right: Reinhard algorithm LAB image. Buttom Left: Fattal	
	algorithm LAB image. Buttom Right: Durand algorithm LAB image.	45
4.10	MiddlePond: Top Left: First HDR reference LAB image. Top	
	Right: Reinhard algorithm LAB image. Buttom Left: Fattal	
	algorithm LAB image. Buttom Right: Durand algorithm LAB image.	45
4.11	MasonLake(1): Top Left: First HDR reference gray image. Top	
	Right: Reinhard algorithm LAB image. Buttom Left: Fattal	
	algorithm LAB image. Buttom Right: Duran algorithm LAB image.	46
4.12	MiddlePond: Top Left: First HDR reference gradient image. Top	
	Right: Reinhard algorithm gradient image. Buttom Left: Fattal	
	algorithm gradient image. Buttom Right: Duran algorithm gradient	
	image	46
4.13	MasonLake(1): Top Left: First HDR reference gradient image. Top	
	Right: Reinhard algorithm gradient image. Buttom Left: Fattal	
	algorithm gradient image. Buttom Right: Duran algorithm gradient	
	image	47

- 4.14 *MiddlePond: Top Left:* First HDR reference gradient image. *Top Right:* Reinhard algorithm gradient image. *Buttom Left:* Fattal algorithm gradient image. *Buttom Right:* Duran algorithm gradient image.
- 4.15 Scatter plot of the Quality Assessment with (AccumulatedHSVColorEdgeStrength): Top Left: MOS against QA1_Sqrt.Top Middle: MOS against QA1_1. Top Right: MOS against QA1_Square. Middle Left: MOS against QA1_Cubed. Center: MOS against QA2_Sqrt. Middle Right: MOS against QA2_1.Buttom Left: MOS against QA2_Square. Buttom Middle: MOS against QA2_Cubed.Buttom Right: MOS against QA3.... 49

47

- 4.16 Scatter of the Quality Assessment with plot (AverageHSVColorEdgeStrength): *Top Left:* MOS against QA1_Sqrt.Top Middle: MOS against QA1_1. Top Right: MOS against QA1_Square. Middle Left: MOS against QA1_Cubed. Center: MOS against QA2_Sqrt. Middle Right: MOS against QA2_1.Buttom Left: MOS against QA2_Square. Buttom Middle: MOS against QA2_Cubed.*Buttom Right:* MOS against QA3. 52
- 4.17 Scatter plot of the Quality Assessment with (AccumulatedLABColorEdgeStrength): Top Left: MOS against QA1_Sqrt.Top Middle: MOS against QA1_1. Top Right: MOS against QA1_Square. Middle Left: MOS against QA1_Cubed. Center: MOS against QA2_Sqrt. Middle Right: MOS against QA2_1.Buttom Left: MOS against QA2_Square. Buttom Middle: MOS against QA2_Cubed.Buttom Right: MOS against QA3.... 53
- 4.18 Scatter plot of the Quality Assessment with (AverageLABColorEdgeStrength): Top Left: MOS against QA1_Sqrt.Top Middle: MOS against QA1_1. Top Right: MOS against QA1_Square. Middle Left: MOS against QA1_Cubed. Center: MOS against QA2_Sqrt. Middle Right: MOS against QA2 1.Buttom Left: MOS against QA2 Square. Buttom Middle: MOS against QA2_Cubed.Buttom Right: MOS against QA3. 57

List of Tables

2.1	Related Works	9
3.1	The Process Method Used to TMO Images	31
3.2	Research Schedule	39
4.1	Performance Evaluation of Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength	
	from Processed Images Feature	48
4.2	QA of Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength from Processed	
	Images Feature	50
4.3	QA of Average HSV Color Edge Strength from Processed Images	
	Feature	50
4.4	Performance Evaluation of Average HSV Color Edge Strength from	
	Processed Images Feature	51
4.5	Performance Evaluation of Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength	
	from Processed Images Feature	52
4.6	QA of Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength from Processed	
	Images Feature	54
4.7	QA of Average LAB Color Edge Strength from Processed Images	
	Feature	54
4.8	QA of Multipication LAB Luminance Edge Strength from	
	Processed Images Feature	55
4.9	Performance Evaluation of Average LAB Color Edge Strength from	
	Processed Images Feature	56
4.10	Performance Evaluation of Feature Combine from Processed	
	Images Feature	57
1.1	HDR Process Image Dataset Collections	68
2.1	Quality Assessment of Accumulated HSV Color Edge Strength	71
2.2	Quality Assessment of Average HSV Color Edge Strength	74
2.3	Quality Assessment of Accumulated LAB Color Edge Strength	77

2.4	Quality Assessment of Average LAB Color Edge Strength	80
2.5	Quality Assessment of Feature Combine	83

List of Abbreviations

- **3D** 3 Dimensional
- **BSQ** Best subjective quality
- **DMOS** Difference Mean Opinion Score
- **DSCQS** Double-Stimulus Continuous Quality Scale
- **DSIS** Double-Stimulus Impairment Scale
- FR Full-Reference
- FSIM Feature Similarity Index
- HDR High Dynamic Range
- HDRI High Dynamic Range Imaging
- HIGRADE HDR Image GRADient Evaluator
- HVS Human Visual System
- JPEG Joint Photographic Experts Group
- KRCC Kendall's Rank-order Correlation Coefficient
- LIVE Laboratory for Image & Video Engineering
- MAD Most Apparent Distortion
- MEF Multi-Exposure Image Fusion
- MOS Mean Opinion Score
- MPEG Moving Pictures Experts Group
- MS-SSIM Multiscale Structural Similarity Index
- MSCN Mean-Subtracted-Contrast

- MSE Mean Squared Error
- NR No-Reference
- NSS Natural Scene Statistics
- **PU** Perceptually Uniform
- **QoE** Quality of Experience
- QoS Quality of Service
- **RR** Reduce-Reference
- SDR Standard Dynamic Range
- SLR Single-Lens Reflects
- SRCC Spearman's Rank-order Correlation Coefficient
- SRP Scene Reproduction
- **SSIM** Structural Similarity Index
- TMO Tone Mapping Operator
- TMQI Tone Mapped image Quality Index
- **UHD** Ultra High Definition
- **VIF** Visual Information Fidelity
- VSS Visual System Simulator