

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Albinger, L. K. (2020). Constructivism's Relevance to Understanding Brexit. *E-International Relations*, 1–5. Retrieved from <https://www.e-ir.info/2020/06/08/constructivisms-relevance-to-understanding-brexite/>
- Brändle, V. K., Galpin, C., & Trenz, H. J. (2022). Brexit as 'politics of division': social media campaigning after the referendum. *Social Movement Studies*, 21(1–2), 234–253. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14742837.2021.1928484>
- Darwis, D., & Howay, T. (2021). Keluarnya Britania Raya dari Keanggotaan Uni Eropa dan Implikasinya Bagi Perekonomian. *POLITICOS: Jurnal Politik Dan Pemerintahan*, 1(2), 69–82. <https://doi.org/10.22225/politicos.1.2.2021.69-82>
- Dinçer, P. (2019). Kimlik ve Farklılık Kavramlarının Diyalog Temelli Çapraz Politika Üzerinden Yeniden Değerlendirilmesi. *OPUS Uluslararası Toplum Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 10(7), 1764–1785. <https://doi.org/10.26466/opus.509667>
- Firmansyah, M. F., & Kurniawan, A. (2021). Literature Study of Political Communication Based on Politic Identity and Symbolic Interactionism: The Role of Middle Class in Information of Democratization. *The Spirit of Society Journal*, 5(1), 55–73.
- Ginanjar, W. P. (2022). Assessing China'S Foreign Policy To South East Asia: the Covid19 Vaccine and the South China Sea Issues. *Jurnal Diplomasi Pertahanan*, 8(1), 31–43. <https://doi.org/10.33172/jdp.v8i1.885>
- Gusmiarti, A. D. (2022). Api Analysis: Brexit and Its Impact. *Jurnal Diplomasi Pertahanan*, 8(2), 59–71. <https://doi.org/10.33172/jdp.v8i2.1006>

- Mendelsohn, R. (2017a). *Transforming the State, Challenging the Nation: the Role of Identity Politics in the Brexit Vote*. Retrieved from [http://digitalcommons.macalester.edu/poli\\_honors](http://digitalcommons.macalester.edu/poli_honors)[http://digitalcommons.macalester.edu/poli\\_honors/65](http://digitalcommons.macalester.edu/poli_honors/65)
- Mendelsohn, R. (2017b). *Transforming the State, Challenging the Nation: the Role of Identity Politics in the Brexit Vote*. *Political Science Honors Projects*, 63. Retrieved from [http://digitalcommons.macalester.edu/poli\\_honors](http://digitalcommons.macalester.edu/poli_honors)[http://digitalcommons.macalester.edu/poli\\_honors/65](http://digitalcommons.macalester.edu/poli_honors/65)
- Nasrudin, J., & Nurdin, A. A. (2019). Politik Identitas Dan Representasi Politik (Studi Kasus pada Pilkada DKI Periode 2018-2022). *Hanifiya: Jurnal Studi Agama-Agama*, 1(1), 34–47. <https://doi.org/10.15575/hanifiya.v1i1.4260>
- NatCen. (2016). The Vote to Leave the EU. *British Social Attitudes*, 34, 1–24.
- Noor, J. (2012). *Metodologi Penelitian*. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group.
- Owen, E., & Walter, S. (2017). Open economy politics and Brexit: insights, puzzles, and ways forward. *Review of International Political Economy*, 24(2), 179–202. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2017.1307245>
- Park, D., Castillejos, C., & Kim, J. (2020). *Analysis of Brexit and Its Policy Lessons For Asian Integration*.
- Rosyidin, M. (2014). Politik Identitas dalam Hubungan Internasional: Bias Konstruksi Barat terhadap Ancaman Uji Coba Rudal Korea Utara dan India. *Jurnal Transformasi Global*, 1(2), 145–164.
- Saviar, Y. M. (2017). Mengapa Brexit ? Faktor-Faktor Di Balik Penarikan Inggris

Dari Keanggotaan Uni Eropa. *Jurnal Analisis Hubungan Internasional*, 6(2), 258–271.

Swales, K. (2016). Understanding the Leave vote. *NatCen Social Research and UK in a Changing Europe*, 32. Retrieved from [www.natcen.ac.uk](http://www.natcen.ac.uk)

Tilley, J., & Hobolt, S. (2023). Brexit as an identity: Political identities and policy norms. *Politics Symposium*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096523000367>

Walliman, N. (2011). *Research Method The Basics*. New York: Routledge.