

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN TWO HYBRID MEDICAL
IMAGE COMPRESSION METHODS**

UNDERGRADUATE THESIS



CLARISSA PHILANA SHOPIA AZARIA

1102001009

**INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
BAKRIE UNIVERSITY
JAKARTA
2014**

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN TWO HYBRID MEDICAL
IMAGE COMPRESSION METHODS**

UNDERGRADUATE THESIS

Submitted as one of requirements to obtain bachelor degree (S1)



CLARISSA PHILANA SHOPIA AZARIA

1102001009

**INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
BAKRIE UNIVERSITY
JAKARTA
2014**

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

**The material in this Undergraduate Thesis is the result of my own work,
and all sources are quoted and cited properly.**

Name : Clarissa Philana Shopia Azaria

NIM : 1102001009

Signature :

Date : August 8th, 2014

STATEMENT OF APPROVAL

This Undergraduate Thesis was submitted by:

Name : Clarissa Philana Shopia Azaria

NIM : 1102001009

Department : Information Technology

Faculty : Engineering and Computer Science

Title : A Comparative Study between Two Hybrid Medical Image
Compression Methods

has been approved by the Board of Examiners and accepted as a partial fulfilment of the requirements to obtain a Bachelor degree in Information Technology Department, Faculty of Engineering and Computer Science, Bakrie University.

BOARD OF EXAMINERS

Supervisor : Irwan Prasetya Gunawan, Ph.D



Examiner I : Berkah I. Santoso, S.T, M.T.I



Examiner II : Yudhiansyah Ahmadin, S.T, M.T.I (



Authorized in : Jakarta

Date : August 8th, 2014

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Gratitude is in order to Allah SWT for His blessing that I am able to finish the Undergraduate Thesis. During this research there have been many people who have guided, helped and inspired me. Therefore, I also would like to express my sincere gratitude to these people:

1. Irwan Prasetya Gunawan, Ph.D, as thesis supervisor who has introduced me to Digital Image Processing subject in the previous semester as basic material for this thesis. Thank you for your precious guidance, knowledge and suggestions.
2. My family: Nukman, Hidayatul Istiqomah, Muhammad Muchsy Al Hadi, Ania Iqrima Azalia and Amalia Rahma Sofiyana for their unconditional love and the never-ending-prayers.
3. Agung Putra Moriva, Elivina Mirdiani, Ajeng Rizky, Ruth Morani Ecklesia, Deasy Agustria, Risman Maulana, Steven Rix, Aril Andhika, and Setya Nurul Faizin for their continuous friendship, unlimited support, and great advices.
4. My colleagues in TIF 2010 for the unforgettable 4 years.
5. Dita Amaliana Putri, Pasky Nurguna, Nourma Atiqoh, Shelly Vernanda, Dian Ilma Amalia, and Mia Fahmawati for cheerfulness and togetherness.
6. My colleagues in Senat Mahasiswa, Himpunan Mahasiswa Teknik Informatika, and Paduan Suara Mahasiswa. Thank you for the great experiences and the beautiful songs.

Finally, I truly hope that this Undergraduate Thesis can be used as reference in the future, especially for those who have interest in medical image compression.

Jakarta, August 8th 2014

Clarissa Philana Shopia Azaria

DECLARATION OF PARTIAL COPYRIGHT LICENSE

As student of Bakrie University, I hereby:

Name : Clarissa Philana Shopia Azaria

NIM : 1102001009

Department : Information Technology

The author, whose copyright is declared on the title page of this work, agree and grant Bakrie University a non-exclusive royalty free right for educational and academic endeavour with this Undergraduate Thesis titled:

A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN TWO HYBRID MEDICAL IMAGE COMPRESSION METHODS

With the granted permission to use this material, Bakrie University is allowed to keep or make digital copy, communicate, and publish this Undergraduate Thesis by providing full acknowledgement of the copyright and the source of the material.

It is understood that copying and publicizing of this work for financial gain shall not be allowed without written permission. The original Partial Copyright License attesting to these terms, and signed by this author, may be found in the original bound copy of this work, retained in the Bakrie University Archive.

Authorized in: Jakarta

Date: August 8th, 2014

Approved by

(Clarissa Philana Shopia Azaria)

A Comparative Study between Two Hybrid Medical Image Compression Methods

Clarissa Philana Shopia Azaria¹

ABSTRACT

In the medical community, it is still discussion whether to apply lossy or loss-less compression methods since each has its own advantages and weaknesses. Then the idea of combining both methods arises to achieve higher compression rate for transmission and storage efficiency without discarding important medical information. This combination is called hybrid method. This research aimed to compare two hybrid medical image compression methods by applying them into the same data set. The hybrid medical image compression methods were vector quantization with variable block sizes in wavelet domain (VQ-wavelet) and DCT-based subband decomposition and modified SPIHT data organization (DCT-SPIHT). Seven metrics were used to objectively evaluate the compressed images; entropy, computation time, mean square error (MSE), peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR), structural similarity (MSSIM), normalized cross correlation (NCC), and image quality index. Simulation results showed that DCT-SPIHT is the best method which is supported by fast computation time, lower MSE, higher PSNR, and almost perfect value of MSSIM, NCC, and image quality index.

Index terms: hybrid medical image compression method, discrete cosine transform (DCT), set partitioning in hierarchical trees (SPIHT), vector quantization (VQ), wavelet transform

¹Student of Information Technology Department, Bakrie University

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem	3
1.3	Purpose of Research	4
1.4	Scope of Research	4
1.5	Benefit of Research	5
1.6	Contribution of Research	5
1.7	Outline of Thesis	6
1.8	Summary	6
2	Literature Review	7
2.1	Medical Image	7
2.1.1	Medical Imaging Modalities	7
2.1.2	Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DI-COM)	8
2.2	Terminology	9
2.3	Hybrid Compression Methods	10
2.3.1	DCT-Based Subband Decomposition and Modified SPIHT Data Organization	10
2.3.2	Vector quantization with variable block sizes in wavelet domain	14
2.3.3	Vector Quantization	16
2.4	Digital Image Distortions	18
2.5	Summary	19
3	Research Methods	20
3.1	Research Framework	20
3.2	Tools	21
3.2.1	Data Set	21
3.3	The Modified Hybrid Methods	24
3.3.1	DCT-Based Subband Decomposition and Modified SPIHT Data Organization	24
3.3.2	Vector Quantization with Variable Block Sizes in Wavelet Domain	24
3.4	Objective Evaluation of Image Compression	25
3.4.1	Entropy	25

3.4.2	Computation Time	25
3.4.3	Mean Square Error (MSE)	26
3.4.4	Peak Signal-to-noise Ratio (PSNR)	26
3.4.5	Structural similarity (SSIM)	26
3.4.6	Normalized Cross Correlation	27
3.4.7	Image Quality Index	27
3.5	Summary	28
4	Results and Analysis	29
4.1	DCT-Based Subband Decomposition and Modified SPIHT Data Organization	30
4.1.1	Discrete Cosine Transform and Translation Function . .	30
4.1.2	The Subband Statistics	35
4.1.3	The Combine Function	38
4.1.4	The Decoding Process	50
4.2	Vector Quantization with Variable Block Sizes in Wavelet Domain	61
4.2.1	The 2D Wavelet Transform	61
4.2.2	The Lowest Frequency Subband	61
4.2.3	High-frequency Subbands	62
4.2.4	Image Reconstruction	68
4.3	Evaluation Results	77
4.3.1	DCT-Based Subband Decomposition and Modified SPIHT Data Organization	77
4.3.2	Vector Quantization with Variable Block Sizes in Wavelet Domain	80
4.3.3	DCT-SPIHT vs VQ-Wavelet	82
4.4	Summary	87
5	Conclusions and Future Works	88
5.1	Conclusion	88
5.2	Future Works	89

List of Tables

1.1	The Size of Medical Images [12] [25]	2
3.1	Data Set: X-Ray	22
3.2	Data Set: Computed Tomography (CT)	23
3.3	Data Set: Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI)	23
3.4	Data Set: Angiogram	23
4.1	The Subband Statistics of XRay1	35
4.2	The Subband Statistics of CT1	36
4.3	The Subband Statistics of of MRI1	36
4.4	The Subband Statistics of Angio1	36
4.5	The Shifted Subband Statistics of XRay1	39
4.6	The Shifted Subband Statistics of CT1	39
4.7	The Shifted Subband Statistics of MRI1	39
4.8	The Shifted Subband Statistics of Angio1	39
4.9	The Objective Evaluation of XRay1	77
4.10	The Objective Evaluation of CT1	78
4.11	The Objective Evaluation of MRI1	78
4.12	The Objective Evaluation of Angio1	78
4.13	The Objective Evaluation of XRay1	80
4.14	The Objective Evaluation of CT1	80
4.15	The Objective Evaluation of MRI1	81
4.16	The Objective Evaluation of Angio1	81

List of Figures

2.1	The 2D-DCT Processes [21]	11
2.2	The 2-Dimensional 8 x 8 DCT Basis Functions [21]	11
2.3	The Translation Function	12
2.4	The example of Parent-child relationship in SOT [3]	13
2.5	The DCT-SPIHT Diagram [3]	14
2.6	The 2D-DWT Processes [21]	16
2.7	Vector Quantizer [7]	17
2.8	The Results of Two-Level Wavelet Transform	17
2.9	VQ-Wavelet Diagram [13]	18
3.1	The Research Framework	21
3.2	The Diagram of Structural Similarity (SSIM) System [28]	27
4.1	The Original Image: XRay1 (left), CT1 (right)	29
4.2	The Original Image: MRI1 (left), Angio1 (right)	30
4.3	The DCT-Transformed Image of XRay1 (left) and CT1 (Right)	30
4.4	The DCT-Transformed Image of MRI1 (left) and Angio1 (right)	31
4.5	The First Level of Translated Image of XRay1 (left) and CT1 (right)	31
4.6	The First Level of Translated Image of MRI1 (left) and Angio1 (right)	32
4.7	The Inversed-DCT LL Image of XRay1 (left) and CT1 (right)	32
4.8	The Inversed DCT LL Image of MRI1 (left) and Angio1 (right)	33
4.9	The DCT-Transformed LL Image of XRay1 (left) and CT1 (right)	33
4.10	The DCT-Transformed LL Image of MRI1 (left) and Angio1 (right)	34
4.11	The Second Level Translated Image of XRay1 (left) and CT1 (right)	34
4.12	The Second Level Translated Image of MRI1 (left) and Angio1 (right)	35
4.13	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $PixVal < 1$ of XRay1	40
4.14	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $PixVal < 1$ of CT1	41
4.15	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $PixVal < 1$ of MRI1	41
4.16	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $PixVal < 1$ of Angio1	41
4.17	The Corresponding D , $PixVal < 1$ of XRay1 (left) and CT1 (right)	42

4.18	The Corresponding D , $PixVal < 1$ of MRI1 (left) and Angio1 (right)	42
4.19	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $PixVal = 1$ of XRay1 . . .	42
4.20	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $PixVal = 1$ of CT1	43
4.21	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $PixVal = 1$ of MRI1	43
4.22	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $PixVal = 1$ of Angio1 . . .	43
4.23	The Corresponding D , $PixVal = 1$ of XRay1 (left) and CT1 (right)	43
4.24	The Corresponding D , $PixVal = 1$ of MRI1 (left) and Angio1 (right)	44
4.25	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $\text{floor}(PixVal) = 1$ of XRay1	44
4.26	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $\text{floor}(PixVal) = 1$ of CT1 .	44
4.27	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $\text{floor}(PixVal) = 1$ of MRI1 .	45
4.28	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $\text{floor}(PixVal) = 1$ of Angio1	45
4.29	The Corresponding D , $\text{floor}(PixVal) = 1$ of XRay1 (left) and CT1 (right)	45
4.30	The Corresponding D , $\text{floor}(PixVal) = 1$ of MRI1 (left) and Angio1 (right)	46
4.31	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $\text{ceil}(PixVal) = 1$ of XRay1 .	46
4.32	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $\text{ceil}(PixVal) = 1$ of CT1 . .	46
4.33	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $\text{ceil}(PixVal) = 1$ of MRI1 .	47
4.34	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $\text{ceil}(PixVal) = 1$ of Angio1 .	47
4.35	The Corresponding D , $\text{ceil}(PixVal) = 1$ of XRay1 (left) and CT1 (right)	47
4.36	The Corresponding D , $\text{ceil}(PixVal) = 1$ of MRI1 (left) and Angio1 (right)	48
4.37	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $PixVal \geq 1.1$ of XRay1 . . .	48
4.38	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $PixVal \geq 1.1$ of CT1	48
4.39	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $PixVal \geq 1.1$ of MRI1 . . .	49
4.40	The Corresponding $S1$, $S2$, and $S3$, $PixVal \geq 1.1$ of Angio1 . .	49
4.41	The Corresponding D , $PixVal \geq 1$ of XRay1 (left) and CT1 (right)	49
4.42	The Corresponding D , $PixVal \geq 1$ of MRI1 (left) and Angio1 (right)	50
4.43	The Reconstructed XRay1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $PixVal < 1$	51
4.44	The Reconstructed CT1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $PixVal < 1$	52
4.45	The Reconstructed MRI1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $PixVal < 1$	52
4.46	The Reconstructed Angio1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $PixVal < 1$	53
4.47	The Reconstructed XRay1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $PixVal = 1$	53
4.48	The Reconstructed CT1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $PixVal = 1$	54

4.49	The Reconstructed MRI1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $PixVal = 1$	54
4.50	The Reconstructed Angio1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $PixVal = 1$	55
4.51	The Reconstructed XRay1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $(\text{floor}(PixVal) = 1)$	55
4.52	The Reconstructed CT1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $(\text{floor}(PixVal) = 1)$	56
4.53	The Reconstructed MRI1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $(\text{floor}(PixVal) = 1)$	56
4.54	The Reconstructed Angio1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $(\text{floor}(PixVal) = 1)$	57
4.55	The Reconstructed XRay1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $\text{ceil}(PixVal) = 1$	57
4.56	The Reconstructed CT1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $\text{ceil}(PixVal) = 1$	58
4.57	The Reconstructed MRI1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $\text{ceil}(PixVal) = 1$	58
4.58	The Reconstructed Angio1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $\text{ceil}(PixVal) = 1$	59
4.59	The Reconstructed XRay1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $PixVal \geq 1.1$	59
4.60	The Reconstructed CT1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $PixVal \geq 1.1$	60
4.61	The Reconstructed MRI1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $PixVal \geq 1.1$	60
4.62	The Reconstructed Angio1 Image (left) and Error Image (right), $PixVal \geq 1.1$	61
4.63	The Approximation Level 2 of XRay1 (left) and CT1 (right) . .	62
4.64	The Approximation Level 2 of MRI1 (left) and Angio1 (right) .	62
4.65	The Vertical Detail Level 1 (left) and Level 2 (right) of CT1 . .	63
4.66	The Horizontal Detail Level 1 (left) and Level 2 (right) of CT1 .	63
4.67	The Diagonal Detail Level 1 (left) and Level 2 (right) of CT1 . .	63
4.68	The Original $V2$ Subband (left) and the New $V2$ Subband (right) with $L = 4$ and $K = 16$ of CT1	64
4.69	The Original $V1$ Subband (left) and the New $V1$ Subband (right) with $L = 4$ and $K = 16$ of CT1	65
4.70	The Original $H2$ Subband (left) and the New $H2$ Subband (right) with $L = 4$ and $K = 4$ of CT1	65
4.71	The Original $H1$ Subband (left) and the New $H1$ Subband (right) with $L = 4$ and $K = 4$ of CT1	66
4.72	The Original $V2$ Subband (left) and the New $V2$ Subband (right) with $L_1 = 16$, $L_2 = 4$ and $K = 16$ of CT1	66
4.73	The Original $V1$ Subband (left) and the New $V1$ Subband (right) with $L_1 = 16$, $L_2 = 4$ and $K = 16$ of CT1	67

4.74	The Original <i>H2</i> Subband (left) and the New <i>H2</i> Subband (right) with $L_1 = 16$, $L_2 = 4$ and $K = 4$ of CT1	67
4.75	The Original <i>H1</i> Subband (left) and the New <i>H1</i> Subband (right) with $L_1 = 16$, $L_2 = 4$ and $K = 4$ of CT1	68
4.76	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L = 4$ and $K = 16$ of XRay1	69
4.77	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L = 4$ and $K = 4$ of XRay1	69
4.78	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L_1 = 16$, $L_2 = 4$, and $K = 16$ of XRay1	70
4.79	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L_1 = 16$, $L_2 = 4$, and $K = 4$ of XRay1	70
4.80	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L = 4$ and $K = 16$ of CT1	71
4.81	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L = 4$ and $K = 4$ of CT1	71
4.82	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L_1 = 16$, $L_2 = 4$, and $K = 16$ of CT1	72
4.83	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L_1 = 16$, $L_2 = 4$, and $K = 4$ of CT1	72
4.84	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L = 4$ and $K = 16$ of MRI1	73
4.85	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L = 4$ and $K = 4$ of MRI1	73
4.86	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L_1 = 16$, $L_2 = 4$, and $K = 16$ of MRI1	74
4.87	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L_1 = 16$, $L_2 = 4$, and $K = 4$ of MRI1	74
4.88	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L = 4$ and $K = 16$ of Angio1	75
4.89	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L = 4$ and $K = 4$ of Angio1	75
4.90	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L_1 = 16$, $L_2 = 4$, and $K = 16$ of Angio1	76
4.91	The Compressed Image (left) and the Error Image (right) with $L_1 = 16$, $L_2 = 4$, and $K = 4$ of Angio1	76
4.92	All Threshold vs PSNR Graph	79
4.93	All Threshold vs Image Quality Index Graph	79
4.94	All Threshold vs PSNR Graph	81
4.95	All Threshold vs Image Quality Index Graph	82
4.96	The Graph of Hybrid Methods vs Entropy	83
4.97	The Graph of Hybrid Methods vs Computation Time	83
4.98	The Graph of Hybrid Methods vs MSE	84
4.99	The Graph of Hybrid Methods vs PSNR	84
4.100	The Graph of Hybrid Methods vs MSSIM	85
4.101	The Graph of Hybrid Methods vs NCC	85

4.102The Graph of Hybrid Methods vs Image Quality Index	86
---	----