

memahami bahwa klaim Tiongkok atas Kepulauan Spratly didorong oleh kepentingan nasional yang multidimensional, diharapkan berbagai upaya penyelesaian sengketa di masa mendatang dapat dilakukan secara lebih realistis, komprehensif, dan berorientasi pada terciptanya perdamaian serta stabilitas kawasan.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Aberasturi, A. S. (2025). *The South China Sea or the future of global geopolitics*.
- Aguda, H. R. R., & Arellano-Aguda, J. L. A. (2008). The Philippine claim over the Spratly group of islands: An application of Article 76 of the UNCLOS. *Philippine Law Journal*, 83, 573–608.
- Asia Maritime Transparency Initiative. (n.d.). *South China Sea energy exploration and development*. Center for Strategic and International Studies.
- Asia Maritime Transparency Initiative. (2023). *Chinese power projection capabilities in the South China Sea*. Center for Strategic and International Studies.
- Australian Strategic Policy Institute. (2025). *Military challenges to Beijing's South China Sea claims are increasing*. *The Strategist*. Australian Strategic Policy Institute.
- Basrowi, & Suwandi. (2008). *Memahami penelitian kualitatif*. Rineka Cipta.
- Chin, L., & Jawan, J. (2015). Disputes and overlapping claims over Spratly Islands. *News of Maritime Zones Issue*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.35486.96320>
- China Power Team. (2021). *How much trade transits the South China Sea*. Center for Strategic and International Studies. <https://chinapower.csis.org/much-trade-transits-south-china-sea/>
- Clark, A., & Li, C. (1993). Marine mineral resources of the South China Sea. *Marine Georesources & Geotechnology*, 11(1), 101–126.
- Congressional Research Service. (2025). *China-Philippines tensions in the South China Sea* (CRS In Focus). Library of Congress.
- Creswell, J. W. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

- Daniels, C. L. (2013). *South China Sea: Energy and security conflicts*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Donnelly, J. (2000). *Realism and international relations*. Cambridge University Press.
- Dugis, V. (2018). *Teori hubungan internasional: Perspektif-perspektif klasik* (Edisi revisi). Airlangga University Press.
- Fawn, R. (2003). Ideology and national identity in post-communist foreign policies. *Journal of Communist Studies and Transition Politics*, 19(3), 1–41.
- Frank, J. (2018). *The plundering of the South China Sea*.
- Glanville, L. (2005). How are we to think about the “national interest”? *AQ: Australian Quarterly*, 77(4), 33–40.
- Glaser, B. S. (2012). *Armed clash in the South China Sea*. Council on Foreign Relations.
- Gomory, R. E., & Baumol, W. J. (2001). *Global trade and conflicting national interests*. MIT Press.
- Han, D. G. X. (2017). China’s normative power in managing South China Sea disputes. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 10(3), 269–297.
- Hayton, B. (2019). The modern origins of China’s South China Sea claims: Maps, misunderstandings, and the maritime geobody. *Modern China*, 45(2), 127–170.
- Hidayat, A. R., Alifah, N., Rodiansjah, A. A., & Asikin, M. Z. (2024). Sengketa Laut Cina Selatan: Analisis realis terhadap perebutan kekuasaan, respon regional, dan implikasi geopolitik. *Jurnal Syntax Admiration*, 5(2), 579–591. <https://doi.org/10.46799/jsa.v5i2.1041>
- Hurrell, A. (2024). Geopolitics and global economic governance. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 40, 220–233.
- Ikenberry, G. J. (2024). Three worlds: The West, East and South and the competition to shape global order. *International Affairs*, 100(1), 121–138.
- Institute for Economics & Peace. (2025). *Tracking the trends shaping international relations*. Institute for Economics & Peace.
- International Crisis Group. (2022). *Competing visions of international order in the South China Sea* (Asia Report No. 315). International Crisis Group.

- Javed, S. (2023). UNCLOS and the Spratly Islands dispute: Navigating the legal basis of territorial claims. *Journal of Law and Social Sciences*, 5(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.52279/jlss.05.01.0111>
- Ji, Y. (2007). Dealing with the Malacca dilemma: China's effort to protect its energy supply. *Strategic Analysis*, 31, 467–489.
- Johnsen. (2019). Land power in the age of joint interdependence: Toward a theory of land power for the twenty-first century. *Defense & Security Analysis*, 35(3), 223–240.
- Jones, B. D., Pascual, C., & Stedman, S. J. (2009). *Power and responsibility: Building international order in an era of transnational threats*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S. (2012). *Power and interdependence* (4th ed.). Pearson.
- Keyuan, Z. (2006). A new model of joint development for the South China Sea. In *Recent developments in the law of the sea and China* (pp. 155–176). Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.
- Kim, J. (2015). Territorial disputes in the South China Sea: Implications for security in Asia and beyond. *Strategic Studies Quarterly*, 9(2), 107–141.
- LaFond, E. C. (2025). *South China Sea*.
- Lee, J.-Y. (2021). China's maritime ambition, security dilemma and lack of multilateral framework. *Journal of Asian Security and International Affairs*, 8(2), 195–217. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23477970211017729>
- Lewis, J. W., & Litai, X. (2016). China's security agenda transcends the South China Sea. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, 72(4), 212–221.
- Li, Z., Xie, R., Wu, Y., & Yuan, J. (2022). Progress and prospect of CNOOC's oil and gas well drilling and completion technologies. *Natural Gas Industry B*, 9(2), 209–217.
- Ma, S. R. (2021). *The strategic impact of China's artificial islands in the South China Sea and their influence on U.S. and China relations* (Master's thesis). All Theses & Dissertations, No. 391.
- Mansoor, S. I. (2024). China's geopolitical strategy: Crafting new world order. *China Quarterly of International Strategic Studies*, 9(1), 1–23.
- Mazarr, M. J., Priebe, M., Radin, A., & Stuth, C. A. (2016). *Understanding the current international order*. RAND Corporation.

- McManus, J. W. (1994). The Spratly Islands: Marine park? *Ambio*, 23(3), 181–186.
- Moleong, L. J. (2014). *Metodologi penelitian kualitatif* (Edisi revisi). PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Morgenthau, H. J. (2006). *Politics among nations: The struggle for power and peace* (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Morton, B., & Blackmore, G. (2001). South China Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 42(12), 1236–1263.
- Morton, K. (2016). China's ambition in the South China Sea: Is a legitimate maritime order possible? *International Affairs*, 92(4), 909–940.
- Nie, W. (2016). Xi Jinping's foreign policy dilemma: One Belt, One Road, or the South China Sea? *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 38(3), 422–444.
- Nilamsari, N. (2014). Memahami studi dokumen dalam penelitian kualitatif. *Wacana*, 8(2), 177–182.
- Nuechterlein, D. E. (1976). National interests and foreign policy: A conceptual framework for analysis and decision-making. *British Journal of International Studies*, 2(3), 246–266.
- Pelaggi, S. (2025). China's foreign policy 2024: Strategic assertiveness and diplomatic adaptation. *Asia Maior*, 35, 27–53.
- Peng, N. (2022). Nationalism in China's South China Sea policy: Delicate trade-off between sovereignty protection and stability maintenance. In *Populism, nationalism and South China Sea dispute: Chinese and Southeast Asian perspectives* (pp. 5–23). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-3922-3_2
- Permanent Court of Arbitration. (2016). *The South China Sea arbitration (PCA Case No. 2013-19): Press release*. Permanent Court of Arbitration.
- Poling, G. B., Mallory, T. G., & Pretat, H. (2021). *Pulling back the curtain on China's maritime militia*. Center for Strategic and International Studies.
- Rathbun, B. C. (2007). Hierarchy and community at home and abroad: Evidence of a common structure of domestic and foreign policy beliefs in American elites. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 51(3), 379–407.
- National Bureau of Asian Research. (n.d.). *Philippines: South China Sea claims and U.S. policy*. National Bureau of Asian Research.

- Roberts, C. B. (2018). ASEAN, the South China Sea arbitral award, and the code of conduct: New challenges, new approaches. *Asian Politics & Policy*, 10(2), 190–218.
- Saber, A. S. (2025). Territorial disputes in the South China Sea and their impact on international relations. *International Journal of Sociology and Political Science*, 7(4), 42–49.
- Saleem, O. (2000). The Spratly Islands dispute: China defines the new millennium. *American University International Law Review*, 15(3), 527–582.
- Shambaugh, D. (2004). China engages Asia: Reshaping the regional order. *International Security*, 29(3), 64–99.
- Sholeh, A. R. (2015). *Penelitian kepustakaan*. Pustaka Pelajar.
- Stanley, J. (2016). Global trade, control of the sea, and the U.S. & China: The tensions between economic and security policies. *Southern California Interdisciplinary Law Journal*, 26(1), 245–287.
- Stuenkel, O. (2017). *Post-Western world: How emerging powers are remaking global order*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Su, H. W. (2016). *The R.O.C. (Taiwan)'s sovereignty over the Spratly Islands: History and the principles of international law*. National Taiwan University.
- Sugiyono. (2017). *Metode penelitian bisnis: Pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif, kombinasi, dan R&D*. Alfabeta.
- Sulung, U., & Muspawi, M. (2024). Memahami sumber data penelitian: Primer, sekunder, dan tersier. *Jurnal Edu Research: Indonesian Institute for Corporate Learning and Studies*, 5(2), 28–33.
- Teh, L. S. L., Witter, A., Cheung, W. W. L., Sumaila, U. R., & Yin, X. (2017). What is at stake? Status and threats to South China Sea marine fisheries. *Ambio*, 46, 57–72.
- Teixeira, V. A. G. (2021). The hegemony's contest in the South China Sea. *SAGE Open*, 11(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211031216>
- Tønnesson, S. (2006). The South China Sea in the age of European decline. *Modern Asian Studies*, 40(1), 1–57.
- Valencia, M. J. (2007). The East China Sea dispute: Context, claims, issues, and possible solutions. *Asian Perspective*, 31(1), 127–167.

- Valencia, M. J., Van Dyke, J. M., & Ludwig, N. A. (2021). *Sharing the resources of the South China Sea*. Brill.
- Whitfield, D. (2001). *Public services or corporate welfare: Rethinking the nation state in the global economy*. Pluto Press.
- Yunanto, A. (2025). Analysis of the South China Sea: Resource potential and future conflict risks for ASEAN countries. *Devotion Journal of Community Service*, 6(10), 1077–1093.
- Zed, M. (2008). *Metode penelitian kepustakaan*. Yayasan Obor Indonesia.
- Zeng, J. (2017). Is China committed to peaceful rise? Debating how to secure core interests in China. *International Politics*, 54(5), 618–636.
- Zeng, J., Xiao, Y., & Breslin, S. (2015). Securing China's core interests: The state of the debate in China. *International Affairs*, 91(2), 245–266.
- Zha, D., & Gong, L. (2021). China and Southeast Asia in the 2000s: Tension management in the maritime space. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 23(2), 248–261.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1369148120980944>
- Zhang, K. (2022). Explaining China's large-scale land reclamation in the South China Sea: Timing and rationale. *Journal of Strategic Studies*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402390.2022.2040486>
- Zhao, K., & Zhang, H. (2019). Projecting political power: China's changing maritime strategy. *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, 12(2), 229–261.
- Zulfirman, R. (2022). Implementasi metode *outdoor learning* dalam peningkatan hasil belajar siswa pada mata pelajaran Agama Islam di MAN 1 Medan. *Jurnal Penelitian, Pendidikan dan Pengajaran (JPPP)*, 3(2), 147–153.
<https://doi.org/10.30596/jppp.v3i2.11758>