

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Alewine, H. C., & Stone, D. N. (2013). How does environmental accounting information influence attention and investment? *International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, 21(1), 22–52. <https://doi.org/10.1108/18347641311299731/FULL/XML>
- Alkaraan, F. (2020). Strategic investment decision-making practices in large manufacturing companies: A role for emergent analysis techniques? *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 28(4), 633–653. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-05-2019-0484>
- Alkaraan, F., & Northcott, D. (2013). Strategic investment decision-making processes: The influence of contextual factors. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 21(2), 117–143. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-09-2012-0031>
- Barberis, N. C. (2013). Thirty years of prospect theory in economics: A review and assessment. In *Journal of Economic Perspectives* (Vol. 27, Issue 1, pp. 173–196). <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.27.1.173>
- Bassen, A., Blasel, D., Faisst, U., & Hagenmüller, M. (2006). Performance measurement of corporate venture capital - Balanced scorecard in theory and practice. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 33(4), 420–437. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTM.2006.009253>
- Boedeker, R. R., Hughes, S. B., & Paulson Gjerde, K. A. (2011). Capital investment decisions: Moving beyond traditional financial models. *Journal of Corporate Accounting and Finance*, 22(3), 53–61. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcaf.20675>
- Burhany, D. I., Novianty, I., Suwondo, S., Akuntansi, J., & Bandung, N. (2021). Pengukuran Kinerja Lingkungan dengan Sustainability Balanced Scorecard: Seimbang, Komprehensif, dan Strategis. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Dan Keuangan*, 9(1), 149–164. <https://doi.org/10.17509/JRAK.V9I1.26296>
- Charness, G., Gneezy, U., & Kuhn, M. A. (2012). Experimental methods: Between-subject and within-subject design. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 81(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2011.08.009>
- Dias-Sardinha, I., Reijnders, L., & Antunes, P. (2002). From environmental performance evaluation to eco-efficiency and sustainability balanced scorecards. *Environmental Quality Management*, 12(2), 51–64. <https://doi.org/10.1002/TQEM.10063>
- Dincer, H., & Yuksel, S. (2019). Balanced scorecard-based analysis of investment decisions for the renewable energy alternatives: A comparative analysis based on the hybrid fuzzy decision-making approach. *Energy*, 175, 1259–1270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.03.143>
- Dźwigoł, H., Dźwigoł-Barosz, M., & Dzwigoł, H. (2020). Sustainable development of

- the company on the basis of expert assessment of the investment strategy. In *Article in Academy of Strategic Management Journal* (Vol. 19, Issue 5). <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348443019>
- Eernikova, M., & Hyblerova, S. (2018). An Approach to Environmental Investment Decision-Making. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 13(Special Issue 4), 3677–3682.
- Eifert, A., & Julmi, C. (2022). Challenges and How to Overcome Them in the Formulation and Implementation Process of a Sustainability Balanced Scorecard (SBSC). *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(22). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142214816>
- Elkington, J. (1999). *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. Capstone.
- Epstein, M. J., & Wisner, P. S. (2001). Using a Balanced Scorecard to Implement Sustainability. *Environmental Quality Management*, 11(2), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1002/TQEM.1300>
- Figge, F., Hahn, T., Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2002a). The sustainability balanced scorecard: Linking sustainability management to business strategy. *Business Strategy and the Environment Bus. Strat. Env*, 11, 269–284. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.339>
- Figge, F., Hahn, T., Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2002b). The sustainability balanced scorecard - Linking sustainability management to business strategy. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 11(5), 269–284. <https://doi.org/10.1002/BSE.339>
- Ghozali, I. (2009). *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program SPSS*. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Hansen, E. G., & Schaltegger, S. (2016). The Sustainability Balanced Scorecard: A Systematic Review of Architectures. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 133(2), 193–221. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2340-3>
- Hansen, E. G., & Schaltegger, S. (2018). Sustainability Balanced Scorecards and their Architectures: Irrelevant or Misunderstood? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 150(4), 937–952. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-017-3531-5>
- Jassem, S., Azmi, A., & Zakaria, Z. (2018). Impact of sustainability balanced scorecard types on environmental investment decision-making. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU10020541>
- Jassem, S., Zakaria, Z., & Che Azmi, A. (2020). Sustainability Balanced Scorecard Architecture and Environmental Investment Decision-Making. *Foundations of Management*, 12(1), 193–210. <https://doi.org/10.2478/FMAN-2020-0015>
- Jiangtao, L., & Pin, Z. (2010). Analysis of Sustainability Balanced Scorecard

- Influences on Decision Processes and Investment Decisions. *ICIME 2010 - 2010 2nd IEEE International Conference on Information Management and Engineering*, 6, 111–116. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIME.2010.5478344>
- Johnson, S. D. (1998). Identification and selection of environmental performance indicators: Application of the balanced scorecard approach. *Corporate Environmental Strategy*, 5(4), 34–41. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1066-7938\(00\)80079-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1066-7938(00)80079-2)
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision Under Risk. In *Source: Econometrica* (Vol. 47, Issue 2).
- Kalender, Z. T., & Vayvay, Ö. (2016). The Fifth Pillar of the Balanced Scorecard: Sustainability. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 235, 76–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SBSPRO.2016.11.027>
- Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1992). The balanced scorecard: Measures That drive performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 83(7–8).
- Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). *The Balanced Scorecard: Translating Strategy into Action*. Harvard Business School Press. http://www.untagsmd.ac.id/files/Perpustakaan_Digital_1/BALANCED_SCORECARD_The_balanced_scorecard_translating_strategy_into_action_%5B1996%5D.pdf
- Lipe, M. G., & Salterio, S. E. (2000). The Balanced Scorecard: Judgmental Effects of Common and Unique Performance Measures. *The Accounting Review*, 75(3), 283–298. <https://doi.org/10.2308/ACCR.2000.75.3.283>
- Nahartyo, E. (2012). *Desain dan implementasi riset eksperimen*. UPP STIM YKPN.
- Nahartyo, E., & Utami, I. (2016). *Panduan Praktis Riset Eksperimen* (B. Sarwiji (ed.)). Penerbit Indeks.
- Nikolaou, I. E., & Tsalis, T. A. (2013). Development of a sustainable balanced scorecard framework. *Ecological Indicators*, 34, 76–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.04.005>
- Papadakish, V. M., Lioukas, S., & Chambers, D. (1998). Strategic Decision-Making Processes: The Role of Management and Context. In *Source: Strategic Management Journal* (Vol. 19, Issue 2).
- Rudyanto, A., & Siregar, S. V. (2018). The effect of stakeholder pressure and corporate governance on the sustainability report quality. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 34(2), 233–249. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOES-05-2017-0071>
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill-Building Approach* (7th ed.). John Wiley & Sons Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1108/lodj-06-2013-0079>
- Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). *Experimental and quasi-*

experimental designs for generalized causal inference. Mifflin and Company.

Sundin, H., Granlund, M., & Brown, D. A. (2010). Balancing multiple competing objectives with a balanced scorecard. *European Accounting Review*, *19*(2), 203–246. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180903118736>

Tallau, L. J., Gupta, M., & Sharman, R. (2010). Information security investment decisions: Evaluating the Balanced Scorecard method. *International Journal of Business Information Systems*, *5*(1), 34–57. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBIS.2010.029479>

Wan, W. (2018). *Prospect theory and investment decision behavior: A review*.